

Review: Rainfall Runoff Modeling

¹sampathkumar Kondala, ²sooraj Patra, Gandhi Institute of Excellent Technocrats, Bhubaneswar, India Kalam Institute of Technology, Berhampur, Ganjam, Odisha, India

Abstract- Hydrological modeling is a commonly used tool toestimate the basin's hydrological response due to precipitation. There are many limitations of hydrological measurement techniques. We have, infact, only al imited range of measurement techniques and a limited range of measurements in space and time. We therefore need a means of extrapolating from those available measurements in both space and time, particularly to ungauged catchements (where measurements are not available) and into the future (where measurements are not possible) to assess the likely impact of future hydrological change. Models of different types provide ame ansofquantitative extrapolation or prediction that will hopefully be helpful indecision making.

Key words- Rainfall, Runoff , ANN, Fuzzy logic Model, SWMM,HEC-HMS,Hydrologicmodelling

I. INTRODUCTION

Rainfall is the major component of the hydrologic ycle and this is the primary source of runoff. Worldwidemany attempts have been made to model and predict rainfallbehavior using various empirical, statistical, numerical and deterministic techniques.

Rainfall generated runoff is very important in variousactivities of water resources development and management. The method of transformation of rainfall to runoff is highlycomplex, dynamic, nonlinear, and exhibits temporal and spatial variability. It is further affected by many parameters and of teninter-

related physical factors. Determining arobust relationship between rainfall and run offfor awatershed has been one of the most important problems for hydrologists, engineers, and agriculturists.

The rainfall-runoff relationship is an important issue inhydrology and a common challenge for hydrologists. Due tothetremendousspatialandtemporalvariabilitytheimpactofrainfallonrunoffbecomesmoreintensiveandtheirpropere stimateisessentialforflood management.

Since the middle of the 19th century, different methods have been demonstrated by hydrologists to assess the impact of rainfall on runoff where upon many models have attempted to describe the

physicalprocessesinvolvedinit.

These rainfall-runoff models generally fall into blackbox or system theoretical models, conceptual models and physically-

based models. Black box models normally contain no physically based input and output transfer functions and therefore, are considered to be purely empirical models.

Conceptualrainfall-runoffmodelsusuallyincorporate interconnected physical elements with simplified forms, and each element is used to represent a significant or dominant constituent hydrologic process of the rainfall-runoff transformation.

Physically basedmodel are distributedmodels consists alargenumber of parameters as input to the model.

II. LITERATURE REVIEWS

GeoffreyO'Loughlin,WayneHuber&BernardChocat(2007)[1]

Rainfall-runoff models are the backbone of almost all urbanstormwater management studies, for mitigation of floodingproblems or on alleviation of stormwaterpollution. Theseboth objectives require estimates of stormwater flows. thispaperoutlinesaboutthebasictheoryofrainfallrunoffprocess and development of modelling practice and currentuse of computer models. it also highlights about deficiencies and dilemmasofrainfall runoffmodelling.

A.R.SenthilKumar,K.P.Sudheer,S.K.Jainand

P.K.Agarwal(2005)[2]

Thispaperpresents a comprehensive evaluation of the performance of MLP-(multi-layer perceptron) and RBF-(radial basis function) type neural network models developed for rainfall-runoff modelling of Malaprabhacatchment, India. A comparison of the rainfall-runoff modelling skill of two ANN configurations, i.e. an MLP and an RBF is presented. The results suggest that the choice of the type of network certainly has an impact on the model prediction accuracy. However, the results of the study indicate that the generalization properties of RBF networks are poor compared with those of MLPs in rainfall-runoff modelling. But a judgment on which is superior is clearly not possible from this study.

AnilKumarLohani, N.K. Goel&K.K.S.Bhatia (2011)[3]Thispapercomparesartificial neural network (ANN),

fuzzy logic (FL) and linear transfer function (LTF)-basedapproaches for daily rainfall-runoff modelling. This studyalso investigates the potential of Takagi-Sugeno (TS) fuzzymodel and the impact of antecedent soil moisture conditions in the performance of the daily rainfall-runoff models.

Elevendifferentinputvectorsunderfourclasses, i.e.

(i) rainfall, (ii) rainfall and antecedent moisture content, (iii)rainfall and runoff and (iv) rainfall, runoff and antecedentmoisture content are considered for examining the effects of input datavector runoff modelling. Using the rainfall-

runoffdataoftheupperNarmadabasin,CentralIndia,asuitablemodellingtechniquewithappropriatemodelinputstructu reissuggestedonthebasisofvarious

model performance indices. The results show that the fuzzymodellingapproachisuniformlyoutperformingtheLTFandalsoalwayssuperiortotheANN-basedmodels. Keh-HanWangandAbdusselamAltunkaynak(2012)[4]

In this paper a comparative case study betweenSWMM and a fuzzy logic model for the predictions of totalrunoff within the watershed of CascinaScala, Pavia in Italyispresented.

Adatasetof23eventsfrom2000to2003including with the total rainfall and total runoff are adopted to train fuzzy logic parameters. Other data (1990–1995) withdetailed time variations of rainfall and runoff are availablefor the setup and calibration of SWMM for runoff modeling. Among the 1990–1995 data, 35 independent rainfall eventsareselectedtotestthepredictionperformanceoftheSWMM and fuzzy logic models by comparing the predictedtotal runoffs with measured data. The performance of the SWMM and the fuzzy logic modelwereanalyzedusingroot-mean-squarederrorandcoefficientofefficiency.

Generally,boththeSWMMandfuzzylogicmodelcanpredictrunoffsthatagreereasonablywellwiththe measured data. however, the physically based SWMMproduced the time varying hydrograph whereas the fuzzylogic model was subject to the limitation of the methodologyandwasunabletogeneratesuchanoutput.

KishorChoudhari,BalramPanigrahi,JagadishChandraPau(2014)[5]

InthispaperHEC-HMSmodelisusedtosimulate rainfall-runoff process in BalijoreNala Watershedof Odisha, India. To compute runoff volume, peak runoffrate,baseflowandflowroutingmethodsSCScurvenumber, SCS unit hydrograph, Exponential recession andMuskingumroutingmethodsarechosen,respectively.Rainfall- runoff simulation is conducted using 24 randomrainstormeventscoveringfour year(2010–2013)data.

Out of these, 12 events are selected for modelcalibration and the remaining 12 for model validation. Forcalibration of model the statistical tests of error functionslike mean absolute relative error (MARE) and root meansquare error (RMSE) between the observed and simulateddata are conducted. Results shows that these obtained squarefunctionsinthevalidatedmodelindicatesatisfactoryperformanceofHEC-HMSmodelinsimulationrunoffhydrograph. The model can help to save time and money inobtaining the runoff data rather than measurement of runoffinthewatershed.Moreover,itmayhelptosimulaterunoffin un-gauged watershed where there is no gauging station tomeasurerunoff.

M.P.Rajurkar,U.C.Kothyari& U.C.Chaube(2017)[6]InthisstudyalargesizecatchmentoftheNarmada River in Madhya Pradesh (India) is presented for modellingdaily flows during monsoon flood events by the applicationoftheArtificialneuralnetwork methodology.

DailyrainfallandrunoffdatafortheNarmadacatchment at the Jamtara gauge and discharge site located in the central Indian State of Madhya Pradesh, are used. Alinear multiple-input single-output (MISO) model coupled with the ANN is shown to provide a better representation of the rainfall-runoff relationship in such large size catchmentscompared with linear and nonlinear MISO models.

Thepresentmodel

provides

asystematicapproachforrunoffestimationandrepresentsimprovementinpredictionaccuracyover theothermodelsstudied herein.

M. Kh.Askar(2014)[7]

In this paper the SCS CN method is used for the calculation of runoff depth with Geographic InformationTechnique (GIS). The role of remote sensing in runoff calculation is generally to provide a source of input data or a sanaid for estimating equation coefficients and model parameters.

ThestudywascarriedoutintheGomalRiverwatershed about 540 km² catchment areas, Iraq. The areawithintheboundaryoftheKurdistanregionstartsfromnorth of Shahia to south west of Dohuk City.in this study themean annual rainfall depthfor the year 1947to 2005 isconsidered for the calculation of runoff. Effect of slope onCN values and runoff depth was determined by using theWMS7.1program.

The result shows that the incorporation of SCS-CNmodel and GIS facilitates runoff estimation from watershedand canaugmenttheaccuracyofcomputed data.

III. CONCLUSION

- Rainfall-runoffmodelsarethebackboneofalmostallurbanstormwatermanagementstudies.
- The choice of the type of network certainly has an impact on the model prediction accuracy.

• Therearevarious models for rainfall run off modeling discussed here such as artificial neural network, fuzzy modeling or storm water management model.

• Resultshowsthatthefuzzylogicmodelinggivescomparatively good output. the models compiled withANNcanalso beusedand givegoodresults.

• The computer models can also be used for rainfall run off simulation such as HEC-HMS. This model can

helpto save time andmoney in obtaining the runoffdataratherthanmeasurementofrunoffinthewatershed. Also, it may help to simulate runoff in un-gauged watershed where there is no gauging station tomeasurerunoff.

• There is an other method for rainfall run off modeling

known as SCS CN method, which is simple and easymethod and also accurate method for computation ofrunoff.
Therefore the choice or selection of model depends ontypeofdata.

REFERENCES

Geoffrey O'Loughlin, Wayne Huber & Bernard Chocat, Rainfall-runoffprocesses and Modelling, JournalofHydraulicResearch
A.R.SenthilKumar, K.P.Sudheer, S.K.Jainand P.K.Agarwal, Rainfall-runoffmodelling using artificial neural networks: comparison of network types, Wiley InterScience.