

Towards atomic and close-to-atomic scale manufacturing

¹sudeep Kumar Singh, ²tara Prasad Khatua,

Gandhi Institute of Excellent Technocrats, Bhubaneswar, India Capital Engineering College, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

ABSTRACT:

Human beings have witnessed unprecedented developments since the 1760s using precision tools and manufacturing methods that have led to ever-increasing precision, from millimeter to micrometer, to single nanometer, and to atomic levels. The modes of manufacturing have also advanced from craft-based manufacturing in the Stone, Bronze, and Iron Ages to precisioncontrollable manufacturing using automatic machinery. In the past 30 years, since the invention of the scanning tunneling microscope, humans have become capable of manipulating single atoms, laying the groundwork for the coming era of atomic and close-to-atomic scale

manufacturing(ACSM). Close-to-atomic scale manufacturing includes all necessary steps to convert raw materials, components, or parts into products designed to meet the user's specifications. Theprocesses involved in ACSM are not only atomically precise but also remove, add, or transform work material at the atomic and close-to-atomic scales. This review discusses the history of the development of ACSM and the current state-of-the-art processes to achieve atomically precise and/or atomic-scale manufacturing. Existing and future applications of ACSM in quantum computing, molecular circuitry, and the life and material sciences are also described. To further develop ACSM, it is critical to understand the underlying mechanisms of atomic-scale and atomically precise manufacturing; develop functional devices, materials, and processes for ACSM; and promote high through putmanufacturing.

Keywords: atomic and close-to-atomic scale, ACSM, manufacturing, metrology, single-atom manipulation

INTRODUCTION

The development of human civilization is linked to the development of tools of progressively finer length scales

(figure1).Fromthebeginningofusingstonetoolsinthe

Stone Age to metal shaping using casting and forging in the Bronze and Iron Ages, human beings have manually manu-facturedtoolsandvarioussuppliesoverafairlylongperiodof time with tool sizes in the millimeter range, visible to the naked eye. The invention of the steam engine in the 1760s transformed manufacturing from craftwork into a technology with the invention of a series of steam, water, and mechanical equipment with submillimeter precision. Following the dis- covery of electricity and the division of labor in the 1870s, machine structure and precision dramatically improved from the macro-to the micro size, enabling interchangeability and mass production. In the second half of the 20th century, the synergy of optics and light-induced chemical reactions formed the basis of photolithography with resolutions down to the submicron and even the nanometer scale, enabling rapid development of microelectronics, information technol- ogy, and automated production. Meanwhile, the inventionof

computer numerical control (CNC) led to a quantitative leap

in product performance, enabling submicron and nanometer precision. Today, the development of modern lithography enables electronic circuits and devices to reach 3 nm resolu- tion; and CNC ultraprecision machining ultimately achieves sub-nanometer surface finish with form accuracy down to the nanometer scale. This is where we are at today.

What isnext?

In 1959, Feynman delivered his famous talk, 'There's Plenty of Room at the Bottom' to the American Physical Society[1]. He asked, 'What would happen if we could arrange the atoms one by one the way we want them?'.'What would the properties of materials beifwe could really arrange the atoms the way we want them?'. These

questions inspired the development of nanotechnology. Drexler introduced the fundamentalprinciplesofmolecularengineeringandoutlined

developmentpathstoadvancednanotechnologiesinhispaper in 1981 and in a subsequent dissertation [2]. In 1990, nano- technology became popular with growing investment from governments.

Nanotechnology embraces a wide range of technologies with feature dimensions from $1 \sim 100$ nm [3]. The applica- tionofnanotechnologyspansmanyresearchfields, such as

material science, chemistry, semiconductor technology, molecularbiology,medicine,etc.However,nanotechnologies do not necessarily require atomic-scale precision. In 2007, Battelle Memorial Institute and the Foresight Nanotech Institute published 'Productive nanosystems: a technology roadmap' to develop atomically precise manufacturing

(APM), which is a first attempt to map out the research and development (R&D) pathways across multiple disciplines to

achieve atomically precise manufacturing [4]. Atomically precise manufacturing is described as the production of materials, structures, devices, and finished goods in a mannersuch that every atom is at its specified location relative to the otheratomsandinwhichtherearenodefects, missingatoms, and extra atoms, according to a recent call by the US Department of Energy [5]. In 2015, in a workshop on Inte- grated Nanosystems for Atomically Precise Manufacturing in California, the participants identified two specific positional assembly methods for achieving this extraordinary level of

precision: (1) tip-based positional assembly using scanning probe microscopes and (2) integrated nanosystemsusing

molecular machine components. However, APM is still lar- gely reliant on macromolecular assembly and lacksimportant inputfrommechanicalmanufacturing.Basedonthehistory of manufacturing development, Fang formally proposed three phases of manufacturing advances [6,7]:

• Manufacturing I: Craft-based manufacturing by hand, as in the Stone, Bronze, and Iron Ages, in which manufacturing precision is at the millimeterscale.

• Manufacturing II: Precision-controllable manufacturing using machinery where the material removal, transforma- tion, and addition scales are reduced from millimeters to micrometers and nanometers.

• ManufacturingIII:Manufacturingobjectivesandprocesses directlyfocusedonatoms,spanningthemacrothroughthe micro- to the nanoscale where manufacturing is based on removal, transformation, and addition at the atomicscale, namely,atomicandclose-to-atomicscalemanufacturing.

Atomicandclose-to-

atomicscalemanufacturing(ACSM)includesallofthestepsnecessarytoconvertrawmaterials,components,orpartsinto productsdesignedtomeetusers'specifications. The processes involved in ACSM arenot onlyprecise at the atomic level but also remove, add,ortransformwork piece materials at the atomic andclose-toatomicscale. Atomic and close-to-atomicscalemanufacturing provides a fundamental competence for the production of the fourthgeneration of core elements income provides a fundamental competence of the production of the fourthgeneration of the production of the production

leveloperationmodes insubtractive, additive and transformative manufactur-ing processes. We will discuss ACSM by including processes from ultraprecision machining, micro-/nanofabrication, and macromolecular assembly to tip-based manufacturing. The emerging applications of ACSM will be discussed. Insight into

future research in ACSM will be shared as well.

2. Manufacturing approaches for ACSM

Subtractivemanufacturing

Subtractive manufacturing is a process generate three- dimensional (3D) objects by successively removing material away from solid block of material. Subtractive manu-

facturing can be done using either manual-based cutting the materialorCNCbasedmachining,whereCNCismostlyused nowadays. In 1974, Taniguchi, a Japanese precision engi- neering researcher, defined nanotechnology as a production technology for achieving highly accurate, ultrafine dimen- sionsontheorderofananometer.Heproposedachartto



Figure 1.Development of tools with civilization of human beings.



Figure 2. The general improvement in machine accuracy capability during much of the 20th century, as interpreted based on the Taniguchi curves. Reproduced with permission from [10].

record and predict improvements in machining accuracy, as shown in figures 2[8]. As seen in his chart, ultraprecision machining reached subnanometer accuracy in 2000. This was achieved for ultraprecision grinding and polishing of Chandra mirrors with an axial former ror of 5 nm root means quare (rms) and roughness of 1.8–3.4 Årms, as measured over a

distance of 0.01–1 mm in 1990 [9]. Today, brittle materials, such as silicon (Si), can readily be turned without brittle fracture with \sim 1 nm rms roughness with a limited amount of subsurface atomic bond dislocation, typically approximately

200 nm deep [10]. Comparably, for semiconductor fabrica- tion, Moore's law, published in 1965, predicted that the number of transistors in a dense integrated circuit willdouble

abouteverytwoyears(figure3). The number of transistors

increased from 2,300 in 1971 to 1.5 billion in 2015. Today, computer processors, such as the recently announced Apple A12 Bionic chip and Huawei Kirin 980, use 7 nm processes with 6.9 billion transistors on a centimeter square chip. The limits are being pushed to 5 nm and even 3 nm nodes [11].A



Figure 3.Number of transistors on Intel's mainstream micropro- cessor chip.Reproduced with permission from [12].



Figure 4.Different ultraprecision machining processes: (a) single point diamond turning, (b) ultraprecision raster milling, (c) slide tool servo machining, and (d) fast tool servo machining.



Figure 5.(a) Schematic illustration of the experimental setup of taper cutting (b) with an inclination ratio of 1:10,000 and a surface finish of monocrystal silicon. Reprinted from [14], Copyright (2005), with permission from Elsevier.

summary of ultraprecision machining, nanolithography, and other subtractive processes which are promising for atomic and close-to-atomic manufacturing follows.

Ultraprecisionmachining

Ultraprecision diamond turning/milling. In ultra- precision machining, there are two main research fields: ultraprecision turning/milling and ultraprecision grinding/ polishing. Ultraprecision diamond machining using diamond toolsisanefficientwaytomachinecomplexformsurfaces with a nanometer surface finish and submicrometer and even nanometer form accuracy. As shown in figure 4, there are different ultraprecision raster milling,slidetoolservomachining,fasttoolservomachining, etc. The cutting depth of diamond tools in ultraprecision diamond machining is critical and determines the minimum amount of materials which can be stably removed from workpiecesurfaces.

As shown in figure 5, Fang et al [13, 14] developed a taper cutting method to study the material removal



depthis—0.13nm.Reprintedfrom[16],Copyright(2016),with permission from Elsevier.

mechanism from the micrometerscale to the nanometerscale; and they realized stable cutting with a minimum chip thickness of 6 nm. Currently, the surface roughness achieved by ultraprecision diamond machining using diamondtools

can be sub-10 nm, i.e. 1 nm arithmetic roughness (Ra) for monocrystalSi(figure5(b)).Thismethodisstillfaraway from achieving a single atomic layer of material removal.

In this paper, the micro/nano cutting mechanism is describedasshearing,extruding,orrubbingtoexplainplastic deformation and surface generation [15]. Material removal at the atomic scale is only demonstrated in molecular dynamic simulation, where single crystallized copper material is used; and the theoretical tool radius is as small as 5 nm [16]. As shown in figure 6, material removal is still not achieved in a layer-by-layer fashion; and clear

removal of a single layer of copper is still difficult to achieve. Molecular dynamic simulation is used to simulate the cutting of copper using a singlepointdiamondtool. The accuracy of material removal in ultraprecision machining depends on the precision and accuracy of the machining tool, tool wear, the process, relative displacement between the tool and the workpiece, and the machining environment, such as temperature. Machining accuracy is generally worse than machine accuracy, as the errorsof machine tools and there lative displacement of the tool and the workpiece can be transferred to the surface of the workpiece. Since the accuracy of linear motion of the current singlepoint diamond turning machine is tensof nanometers, it is still difficult to cut nanoscale and even atomic-scale layers.

Ultraprecision grinding/polishing. Grinding is an abrasive process, where small, nonmetallic hard particles are capable of removing a small amount of material from a surface through cutting processes [17]. As shown in figure 7, ultraprecisiongrinding is a grinding process for the generation of surfaces with low surface rough ness, high form a curacy, and

highsurfaceintegrity. Thematerial removal mechanism is at the borderline between brittle and ductile transition. In the ductile material removal regime, no to little subsurface damage occurs, while the brittle material removal regime is characterized by

cracksand outbreaks [18]. Ultraprecision grinding is used togenerate parts with a high surface finish, high form accuracy, and high surface integrity. It is usually used to machine hard andbrittle materials, such as ceramics, carbides, glasses, hardenedsteel, or semiconductor materials. Since it is intrinsically amechanical machining process, the surface finish can be smallerthan 2 nm with little subsurface damage [18]. It is not possible toobtain a surface with atomic-scale precision and surface finish.Polishing is usually used as a finishing process to removesubsurface damage from cutting and grinding processes.Ultraprecision polishing integrates mechanical removal andchemical reaction using fine abrasives assisted by softpolishing tools, chemical fluidics, or electric/magnetic fields.Ultraprecision polishing is used to superfinish the surface ofthe workpiece with no/low surface/subsurface damage. Itcan achieve submicrometer form accuracy and surface finish

in the several nanometer and subnanometer range.

No-damage polishing methods can be categorized into several categories i.e. mechanical microremoval polishing (see figure8),fluidjetpolishing(seefigure9),chemicalpolishing,

andchemical mechanical polishing. The mechanical actions include micro removal, erosion, and friction, while the chemical effects include electrolysis, melting, and film formation. No- contact polishing technologies, such as fluid jet polishing, use polishing pads which do not contact the workpiece. Material is removed by the interaction and impact of the fine particles in the

slurry.Elasticemissionmachining(EEM)isatypicalnoncontact polishing method in which fine abrasives (tens of nanometers in size) in the slurry are driven by a high-speed, rotating sphere

closeto the workpiecesurface to create flow in the slurry with dynamicpressureatthegap.Theabrasiveschemicallyreactwith

thesurface to remove material, as shown in figure 10(a). The

EEM method, first proposed by Mori et al, was used to polish monocrystallineSi using zirconium dioxide (ZrO₂) abrasives achieving a surface roughness of 500 pm [19]. Elastic emission

machiningwasfurtheroptimizedwithahigherremovalrateof

upto 100×10^{-4} mm³h⁻¹ with a surface roughness of 85 pm rms [20], as indicated in figure 10(b).

Recently, the Yamauchi group proposed the catalyst- referredetching(CARE)processforpolishingthesurfaceofa single crystalline 4H-silicon carbide (SiC) [21]. As shown in

figure11, acatalytic padisused to perform etching exclusively

onthepadsurface. The topmostpart of the work pieces urface in contact with the pad surface is preferentially removed. The pad surface also acts as a reference pad that is statistically copied onto the work surface. The chemically oriented etching

mechanism of CARE thereby smooths the work surface effectively. During CARE, platinum and hydrofluoric (HF) solution are generally employed as the catalyst andetchant,

respectively; and the main reaction of this process is a catalyticallyinduced, indirect dissociative adsorption of the HF

molecule [22]. As shown in figure 11(b), a step-terrace

structurewithastepheightofapproximately3Åwasobserved using Atomic force microscopy (AFM). Such a stephigh

represents on bilayer thickness of silicon and carbone, which means the material removal is based on chemicalphenomena

and that a type of step-flow removal occurs on the SiC(0001)

Sisurface. The roughness of the surface is 0.142 nmrms with a

Towards atomic and close-to-atomic scale manufacturing



Figure7.Ultraprecisiongrinding:(a)ultraprecisiongrindingsystem,(b)and(c)workpiecesurfacemorphologyafterultra-precisiongrinding.



Figure 8.Bonnet polishing. Figure 9.Fluid jet polishing.

well-ordered crystal structure and is damage free. Moreover, plasma-assisted machining processes, such as plasma chemical

vaporization machining (PCVM) [23] and plasma-assisted polishing (PAP) [24], can also be applied to obtain adamage-

free surface with flattenability at the atomic level.

In summary, the development of ultraprecisionmachiningtechnologies, particularly ultraprecision polishing, enables an atomically flattened damage-free surface. However, state- of-the-art ultraprecision machining processes are still unable to reach material removal of a single atomic layer due to the constraints of machine tool precision and cutting tool interaction with the workpiece surface. Atomic-level uni- formity over a large area, such as wafer scale, is still challenging. Critically, ultraprecision machining processes can only finish workpiece surfaces and cannot generate atomicscale features with specific line widths, which has proven to be important in component-leveldevelopment.

High energy beammachining

Focused ion beam. Electron and ion beams are capable of removing material at atomic scale. A liquidmetal gallium(Ga) ion beam with a ~ 10 nm resolution is a dominatant ion source for etching of nanoscale materials. However, Ga has problems with contamination and ismore

destructive than the lower mass helium/neon $(\mathrm{He^+/Ne^+})$

source. The recently developed He^+/Ne^+ gas field ion source microscope shows that the He^+ source has a sub-1 nm resolution[25] and that the Ne^+ has a theoretical resolution of

0.25 nm [26]. They were applied for imaging, circuit editing, debugging [27], milling, and deposition. Figure 12shows a Zeiss ORION NanoFab helium ion microscope column.

As shown in figure 13, enhanced resolution has been realized with focused ion beam milling of Si [29] and strontiumtitanate ($SrTiO_3$) [30] using a He focused ionbeam

(FIB).The He-induced area shows a uniformbackground

contrast, implying a more uniform thickness. Additionally, Heionmillinghasachievedasub-6nmresolution, such as plasmonic nanoantennas on a gold (Au) film (figure 14),

smallerthan10nmresolutionforGamillingofbulkmaterials [31, 32]. However, it is still difficult to achieve singleatom level precision and single-atom scale feature sizes in bulk substrates using ion beammachining.

Instead of machining bulk materials, the alternative is to machine two-dimensional (2D) materials, such as graphene and metal dichalcogenides. Such 2D materials are confined



Figure 10.Principle of elastic emission machining and surfaces (a) before and (b) after processing by EEM.Reproduced with permission from [20].



Figure 11.(a) Principle of CARE process and (b) AFM image of a CARE-processed surface with a step-terrace structure observed with a step height of approximately 3 Å corresponding to one bilayer thickness of Si and C, generated with a removal depth of 3 µmin 1

μm×1μmarea;RMS:0.118nm(P-V:2.649nm,Ra:0.089nm) (a) Reproduced with permission from [21]. (b) Reproduced from [22] (2006). With permission of Springer. with only one and a few atomic thick layers on top of the substrate. Bell et al [28] used a He-focused ion beamto

machinegraphene on a Si/silicon dioxide (SiO₂) substrate, as

simulated in figure 15. The simulation shows that the Gaatoms deposit most of their kinetic energy in the most upper parts of the material. This means that the Ga beam is suitable for bulk milling with higher efficiency but with lower resolution. However, Heshowsless interaction with graphene where 99.6% of the ions pass though the graphene directly; and the majority of the energy is deposited deep within the Si substrate. This means that He has a higher machining



Figure 12.Schematic of column of Zeiss ORION NaboFab helium

ion microscope with ion source, apertures, Everhart–Thornley secondary electron (E–T SE) detector, and microchannel plate (MCP) detector configuration. Reproduced from [28]. © IOP Publishing Ltd. CC BY 3.0.

resolution with potentially smaller milling feature sizes. Abbasetal[33]usedHeionbeammachiningtofabricate graphenenanoribbonarrays(GNAs)downto5nm(figure16).

First, a $P2^+Si/SiO_2$ wafer was coated with graphene using chemical-vapor-deposited (CVD). Then, contacts for the

source and drain was formed based on patterned titanium (Ti)/Au electrodes. Afterwards, unwanted grapheneis removed using oxygen (O) reactive ion etching (RIE) to

fabricate a defined channel. Finally, the whole device was loadedintoaheliumionbeamlithography(HIBL)machineto create the desired graphenenanoribbon(GNR) patterns. The

Towards atomic and close-to-atomic scale manufacturing



Figure 13.High resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of Si induced by FIB machining using Ga and He sources: (a) scanningelectronmicroscopy(SEM)and(b)diffractioninformation fromtheGafinishedregion.(c)HighresolutionTEM(HRTEM)and (d)diffractioninformationfromtheHe-modifiedregion.Reproduced

from[29]. CC BY 2.0.



Figure 14.30-nm-thick Au wires milled by a He ion beam with milling pattern widths of 20, 10, and 5 nm, which is used as plasmonicnanoantennas fabricated by He ion milling: Scale bar:

100nm.Reprintedwithpermissionfrom[32].Copyright(2013) American Chemical Society.

GNRs showed exceptional sensitivity for the detection of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). There are also many research results onmachiningofgrapheneusingHeionbeamstofabricate nanoribbon-basedgraphene mono-or multiplelayers[34–36].Insteadofgraphene,other2Dmaterials,suchassinglelayersoftransitionmetaldichalcogenides,e.g. molybdenum disulphide(MoS₂), also exhibit potentialapplications in optoelectronic devices, gas sensing, and energystorage based

on atomic-scale modification [37]. Fox et al [37] milled afew layers of MoS_2 using a He ion beam with probe sizes of ~12,

~5.9, and ~1.7 nm, where the small size led to less damage.

When the beam size was optimized to ${\sim}1$ nm, a few layers of $MoS_2\;$ could be milled with nanoribbons. As shownin

figure 17, the potential heating effect created amorphous MoS_2 during milling as the ribbon size gets smaller. On the whole, we found that instead of Ga⁺FIB machining,

focused He ion beam machining is becoming a preliminary tool for milling 2D materials, due to its smaller probe size in the subnanometerrange, lower ion-beam-induced damage, and no additive deposition. However, the process is still at the several nanometers and up precision level. Removal of several atoms has not been realized as of yet. Moreover, material modification from the ion-beam-induced damage, such as heating and deformation, influences its physical properties and needs to be optimized/controlled for a particular application.

Focused electron beam. To fabricate sub-1 nm structures over 2D materials is very challenging. It was believed to be impossible for a MoS_2 monolayer, as it is too fragiletowithstandtheelectron radiation. However, Liuetal

 $\begin{bmatrix} 38 \end{bmatrix} & \text{demonstrated the formation of nanoribbons with a smooth width of 0.35 nm after electron beam radiation by carefully controlling the fracture of the MoS_2monolayer via beam energy and density. Lin et al [39] used electron radiation to generate vacancies and vacancy complexes that can expandint to regions. Two holes were milled side by ideusing focused electron beam in the second regions. This will confine aribbon of monolayer at the design at edsite, as$

showninfigure18.Theminimumsizeofthenanowireis~5Å, and its length can be ~10 nm. Various transition-metal dichalcogenides(TMDC), including molybdenum sulfide (MoS), molybdenum selenide(MoSe), and tungsten selenide(WSe), have been machined with nanowires of ~5Å wide, as

shownin figure 19. The atom removal under the electron beam can be related to several mechanisms, such as knockon effects [40], ionization, and beam-induced chemical etching. The electron knock-on effect refers to fast electron interactionswith the nuclei and the electron system in the target, causing atom displacement once the primary beam energy is over the threshold. Ionization is mainly a radiolysis process arising from the inelastic scattering of incident electrons, in which case the degree of damage is usually assumed to be proportional to the energy deposited per unit volume of the specimen [41]. Beam- induced chemical etching uses a gaseous precursor flowing over a substrate in a vacuum chamber, and an electron beam is used to simultaneously to dissociate adsorbatesand generate reactive fragments and finally lead to etching or deposition [42]. The atom removal mechanism depends on materials, beam energy, scanning dwell time, etc. Research interest in using atomically focused electron beams in a STEM for modification and manipulation of 2D materials with atomic-scale precision has been growing, and more and more nanostructures with atomic precision have been demonstrated[43].

Atomic layer etching. As one of emerging technique for fabrication of semiconductor, atomic layer etching(ALE)



Figure 15.Comparison of simulation result for machining graphene layer on a SiO₂ silicon substrate using (a) 30 kV Ga ions and (b) 30 kV He ions, (c) range and trajectory of 30 kV He ions through a suspended graphene layer over vacuum, SiO₂, and Si substrate. Insets are molecular dynamics simulations schematic comparison between Ga⁺ion and He⁺ion interaction with graphene samples. Reproduced from
[28]. © IOP Publishing Ltd. CC BY 3.0.



Figure 16.Fabrication of GNR arrays using HIBL: (a) schematic diagram HIBL process, and He ion microscope images of (b) 5 nm, (c) 6 nm, and (d) 7.5 nm half-pitch arrays. (e) High aspect ratio GNRs with helium ion microscope image of (width 5 nm, length 1200 nm). (f) A

smooth interface between graphene and patterned GNRs, where bright lines represent graphene. Reprinted with permission from [33].Copyright (2014) American Chemical Society.



Figure 17. Freestanding nanoribbons in 2D materials fabricated using Hebeammachining: (a) a 9-nm-widecrystalline MoS₂ nanoribbon; (b) a 5-nm-

wideamorphousMoS₂nanoribbon;(c)anamorphousnanoribbonwithaminimumwidthoflessthan1nm;(d)scanningtra nsmission electron microscopy (STEM) image of a 7 nm wide crystalline MoS₂nanoribbon; (e) a 9 nm wide crystalline manganese oxide (Mn₂O₃) nanoribbon milled with a helium ion microscope (HIM); (f) titanium dioxide (TiO₂) edge milled with HIM and (g) an array of 10-nm-wide

Mn₂O₃nanoribbonsmilledwithHIM.Reprintedwithpermissionfrom[37].Copyright(2015)AmericanChemicalSocie tv.

uses a sequence alternates between self-limiting chemical modification steps to remove only the chemically modified area of the top atomic layer of wafer [44]. The standard example is etching of silicon by alternating reactionwith

chlorine(Cl₂)andetchingwithargonions(Ar).Inthefirst

step, shown in figure 20, firstly, Cl_2 reactants are adsorbed ontotheSifilmsurface; and then excess reactants are purged; following with Ar ions introduced into reaction chamber, silicon chloride byproduct is desorbed via directional ion bombardment; finally, excess gas was purged. Such aprocess is repeated again and again until the desired amount of material is removed. During the absorption step, amonolayer is expected to form on the to-be-etched surfaces. Hence, no further adsorption occurs. After evacuation of the excess absorbent species, etching happens by the bombardment of ions, energetic neutrals, and others combined with the adsorbed monolayer to form volatile species. Once all species of system are purged, the cycle is then completed. In the case of the reaction step, in figure 20, the reaction only occurs at the surface when an adsorbed species are present, where both absorption and reaction are optimized with material removal rate in one monolayer per cycle. Thus, an atomic finish surface is formed with atomic layer precision without any subsurfacedamage.

The extension of Moore's law increasingly relies on

high-precision fabrication processes to form large-area nanoscale features with high-quality. This is particularly important for the sub-14 nm technology node since transistor performance is highly sensitive to process variations in production [45]. Compared to continuing reactive ionetching

(RIE), ALE can reach atomic-layer precision with atomic-layer precision with atomic-layer precision with a tomic-layer precision w

scale surface roughness without any subsurface damage, as indicated in figure 21.

The ALE process has been under development since the 1990s. The process has been applied to Si and hafniumoxide

 (HfO_2) substrates with various reactants and energeticions for

applications of nanoscale transistors in research [44, 46]. However, the greatest challenge with self-limited ALE processes is the capability for controlling adsorption and reaction portion of process. Typically, an absorption layer is formed uniformly on surface of substrate. The reaction is critical, where the threshold energy is tuned to etch only targetmaterials, rather than other reactormaterials. However, due to problems of selectivity loss, surface modification, net deposition, and spontaneous etching, ideal atomic precision surfaces are still difficult toachieve.

Atomic force microscope nanomachining. Atomic force microscopy was employed as a single-atom layer removal method for single-crystalline Si. Unlike the conventional uses of AFM probes based on mechanical abrasion, fracture, and deformation, Chen et al [47] developed a new way of using them based on shear- induced mechanochemical reactions under an ambient condition with controlled humidity for single Si atom layer removal. The Si wafer was rubbed away with a 2.5 μ m diameter SiO₂ microsphere attached to a scanning probe

microscope(SPM)cantileverunderapressureof247MPa.A

 1.4 ± 0.3 Å deep depression was made on a Si (100)surface,

which is close to a single Si atom layer of 1.36 Å, as evidencedbytheTEMimageinfigure22.Atomremovalwas achieved by shear-induced mechanochemicalreactions



Figure 18.Fabrication of a ramified Y-junction of MoSe nanowire.(a)–(d) Sequential annular dark field images of the fabrication process. The three holes are patterned using the same method described in the main text. By carefully steering the electron beam to scan only the

regions indicated by the white dashed squares in each image, the predesigned ramified junction can be controllably created. The method can,

ingeneral, be applied to create others hapes of ramified junctions that connect multiple nanowires by patterning the holes in the second sec

properpositions

and using the controlled scanmethod. Scalebars: 2nm. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature N anotechnology

[39] , Copyright(2014).

dependenton water molecular absorption layer on the topmost and countersurface of the tipmaterial. Such are action can only happen when a pressure of over 247 MPa is applied, hydrolysis of the where stress-induced Si-Si bonds could occur. The materialremovalmechanismisexplainedasshowninfigure23

by: (1) formation of surface hydroxyl species based on reaction of silicon atoms with water molecules (figure 23(d)), (2)

interfacial bridge bonding under dehydration reaction between surface hydroxyl groups across the interface (figure 23(e)), and (3),removalofaSiatomfromthesubstrateviabreakageofthe substratebondsunderthemechanicalshearaction(figures23(f) and(g)).

Except for mechanochemical-reaction-based AFM, other tip-based nanomanufacturing technologies, such as tipbased nanoelectromachining and tip-based, laser-assistednonmanu- facturing, have been reviewed in the literature [48]. The achievable feature size, however, still ranges from a few nanometerstohundredsofnanometers.Single-atomiclayeror single-atomremovalhasnotyetbeenachieved.

Additivemanufacturing

Atomic layer deposition. Atomic-layer deposition

(ALD) is capable of generation of thin films with a variety

of materials based on vapor deposition technology. Similarto ALE, it is a sequential and self-limiting chemical reactions. It provides exceptional conformity on high aspect ratio structures with thickness at angstrom level and tenable film composition. ALD has been used in industry with many applications. Figure 24illustrates the ALD process. The substrate reacts with alternating pules gaseous chemical precursors. This half-reaction is basically carried out used for material synthesis, in which the precursor is pulsed into a chamber under vacuum for a designated amount of time to allow the precursor to fully react with the substrate surface through a self-limiting process that leaves no more than one monolayeratthesurface. Anyunreacted precursororreaction by-product are purged with inert carries gas. Followed by the counter-reactant precursor pulse and purge, itallowsusto



Figure 19. Atomic structure of the nanowire for various transitionmetal dichalcogenides: (a) atomic structural model of the nanowire, where

 $dashed red triangles indicate the orientation of each layer in the nanowire, and (b) experimental (left) and simulated (right) S \\ TEMZ \text{-} contrast$

imagesofindividualMoSe(orange),MoS(yellow),andWSe(blue)nanowires.Scalebars:0.5nm.Reprintedbypermissi onfromMacmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Nanotechnology [39], Copyright(2014).



Figure 20.Concept of the four-step ALE process: (a) etchant (reactant) absorption, (b) excess reactant evacuation, (c) energy- induced surface reaction, and (d) reaction production evacuation. Reproduced with permission from [44].

create up to one layer of the desired material. Such process is repeated until the required film thickness is generated [49].

Atomic layer deposition offers exceptional conformity, and conformity of high aspect ratio and 3D structures is made possible as chemical reaction is self-limited to surfaces of no more than one layer of precursor. Compared toCVD and physicalvapordeposition(PVD),ALDdoesnotsufferfrom

high aspect ratios and shadowing effects, as shown in an example of the deposition of Li5.1 TaOzonana spectratio of up to 300 anodized aluminium oxide (Al_2O_3) nanotemplate in

figure 25.A variety of materials can be deposited, including metals, insulators, and semiconductors, as reviewed in the literature [49, 50]. Deposits created by ALD are typically less than 1 Å per cycle and achieve an atomic-level smoothsurface.

Thedepositionrateisaround3,600nmh⁻¹basedon aspatial

ALDtechnique.Atomic-layerdepositionhasbeenwidelyused

in the fabrication of microelectronic devices, such as high-k and metal gates, n-type metal oxide semiconductor epitaxy(n-MOS



Towards atomic and close-to-atomic scale manufacturing

Figure 21.Comparison of surface quality epitaxial Si surfaces before etching finished with chemical mechanical planarization, and

continuousdryetchingandatomiclayeretchingwheretheyareimagedbasedonSEM(a)andAFM(b).ALEshowsaonem onolayersurface finish. Reproduced with permission from[45].

Figure 22.Zero subsurface damage in the mechanochemically etched region: (a) mechanochemical wear region under TME (cross-section);

(b) atomic model of Si (100) in the (110) direction; (c) schematic of atomic step edges after the wearing. Reprinted by permission from MacmillanPublishersLtd:NatureCommunications[47],Copyright(2018).

epi), and fin field-effect transistors (FinFET). However, as a deposition process, ALD is generally applied to large areas without confinement in line width; and the minimum deposition layer is determined by the precursor's molecule structure.

Macromolecular self-assembly. Self-assembly is a process where pre-existing random components formself-organizestructureorpatternsuponspecificandlocalinteractions between components themselves without any external direction. Here, we are only addressing macromolecular self-assembly, as macromolecules are potential building block materials for APM. According to 'Production nanosystems: a technology roadmap' [4], bio-based APM uses nature, programmable molecules, such as DNA, protein, and biopolymers as feedstockstoproduce

Figure 23. Molecular dynamic (MD) simulation of atomic removal process: (a) sliding MD model; (b) deformation of Si substrate under loading; and (c) removal of Si atoms and release of substrate deformation after unloading. (d)-(g) Mechanochemical-reaction process: (d) formationofsiliconhydrogen(Si-H)andSi-OHgroupsunderinitialcontact;(e)formationofSi1-O-Si4interfacialbondbridgebetweenSi substrate and silica surfaces, and breaking of Si_1 - Si_3 bond; (f) tensile stress transferred across Si_1 -O- Si_4 bonding bridges; (g) breakage of Si₁-Si₂ bond for Si atom removal from the Si substrate; (h) and (i) show layer by layer removal process function of sliding time under as а differentloadconditions.ReprintedbypermissionfromMacmillanPublishersLtd:NatureCommunications[47],Copyr ight(2018).

atomically precise molecular objects. The fundamental mechanism is macromolecular self-assembly basedon chemical reaction and biosynthesis on a chemical and biological scale. To make such molecular additive manufacturing happen, feedstocks, their controllable assembly, and precise transport mechanisms with resolution at the atomic scale are required. Currently, protein, peptide, and structural DNA are the most widely used nanomaterialsfor APM. Structural DNA nanotechnology mostly relies on macromolecular self-assembly, which is the same case for protein engineering. Nonbiologicalfoldamers follows the same principle, but are based on a broader molecular structures and chemical properties[53].

Protein assembly. Researchers have expended a lot of efforttostudyproteinsasfeedstockbuildingblockmaterialsand explored theirassembly'socket.' Abreakthroughwasmadein 2014 with the design of coassembly multicomponent protein nanomaterials which computational protein modeling and design algorithms were developed. They were not only designed as protein-protein interfaces for directing the formation of novel self-assembly of protein nanomaterials to provide the energetic driving force for assembly [52]. This means that proteins can be designed to generate two-component nanomaterials accuracy and make it possible to design multiple novel interfaces in a single material. This will increasingly enable the construction of more complex materials built from more than two components, as indicated in figure 26. Regarding advanced applications in targeted drug delivery, vaccine design, plasmonicand other applications, design homogeneous protein nanostructures with atomic level accuracy and controlled assembly will be critical and boost further development in these areas. This requires further development of precise patterning from subnanometerto

Figure 24. ALD fabrication process: (a) functionalization or treatment of surface with precursor B; (b) reaction with surface with precursor A; purging using inert gas to remove excess precursor and reaction by-

products;(d)surfacereactionwithprecursorB;(e)purgingwithinert carriergastoremoveexcessprecursorandbyproduct;(f)cyclicrepeatingofprocessforproductionofsurfacecoatings.Reprintedfrom[49], Copyright (2014), with permission fromElsevier.

Figure 25.Conformal deposition of Li5.1TaOz deposited by ALDin 300:1 AAO nano template (470:1 final AR). Reprinted with permissionfrom[51].Copyright(2013)AmericanChemicalSociety.

100 nanometer scale.A more comprehensive review of protein assembly can be found in the literature [53].Peptideassembly.Peptidesareshortchainsofaminoacidmonomerslinkedbypeptide(amide)bonds.Comparedto

 $proteins, peptide contains about 50 or fewer amino acids [54]. \alpha-$

helicesand_β-

strandsarepredominantinpeptidematerialsbased on secondary structural elements arrangement from hydrogen bondpattern. A peptide material is thus assembled from one or more types ofbuilding blocks (of the same secondary structure). Based on its predominant propagation mode (longitudinal, lateral, or both), the topography of the assembly will be defined

according to building blocks. Eventually, it forms liner or anisotropic (fibre or tube) or orthotropic structures (figure 27) [55]. Assembly stimuli and their assembly mechanism are still under exploration [56]. Current progress and relevant applications are reviewed in [55, 57, 58].

DNA assembly. DNA molecules have been used as molecular building blocks for nanoscale structures and devices for over 30 years. Nucleic acids are used as nonbiological engineering materials for nanotechnology rather than as the carrier of genetic information. Due to nucleic acid restrictive base pairing rules, the rational design of base sequences allows the formation of target structures with precisely controlled nanoscale features. of convenient design and reliable А variety rules assembly methods have beendevelopedtoengineerDNAnanostructuresofincreasing complexity, as shown in figure 28.

The four bases present in DNA are adenine (A), cytosine

(C), guanine(G), and thymine (T). For nucleicacids, two

moleculescan only bind to each other to form a double helix structure when the two sequences are complementary. This means that to form the matching sequences of base pairs, Aonly binds to T and C only binds to G. The sequences of bases in a system of strands thus determines the pattern of binding and the overall structure in an easily controllable way. For example, as shown in figure 29, tensegrity triangle of a rigid DNA motif shows threefold rotational symmetry, with unique strands in

magenta(strands restricted to a single junction), green (strands that extend over each edge of the tensegrity triangle), and dark blue (one unique nicked strand at the center passing through all three junctions). Arrowheads indicate the three ends of the

strands. The optical image of DNA crystals indicates such self- assembled 3D crystals are macroscopic objects with dimensions exceeding250µm.Suchlargecrystalspresentatomicprecision

Figure 26.(a) Crystal structure of two-component protein nanomaterials designed and (b) confirmation electron micrographs of the two- protein nanomaterial designed. Reproduced with permission from [54].

Figure 27.Peptide secondary structural elements and their assembly intodifferentforms:(a)α-Helixandβ-strandproteinfoldingelements and(b)topographiesofself-assemblypeptide(linearandorthotropic)
 inrelationtosequence,foldingandmaterialforms.Reproducedfrom
 [55] with permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry.

because of the restrictive base pair rules. By designing of base sequence, complex target structure will be formed with precisely controlled nanoscale feature. These structures can be assembled based on tile-based structure assemble from smaller structures, folding structure using DNA origami method, and dynamically reconfiguration structures using strand displacement methods, as seen in some review papers [59–62].

Positioning directed assembly. No matter whether it is a supramolecular assembly or structural DNA, protein engineering, or even chemical synthesis, self-assemblyfaces

a problem of encoding in complex components.Forexample,the biggest challenge for structural DNA engineeringisthehigherrorrateofself-assembly[59].Anotherpotentialchallenge is scale up. Positional control of assembly canpotentially circumvent these constraints.Itisclear that for positioning-directed assembly, both atomically precise building blocks and positioning systems are needed. In terms of scaleup, high frequency operation is needed.

Accordingtothereview, protein, peptide, structural DNA, and spiroligomers can be building materials. Their transport me chanism, such as collection and release, are important to explore. The position-based assembly approach, such as the site-specific operation using site-

activationtools,e.g.catalystandparing,needstobefurtherexplored.Topositionsuchbuildingblocks,atomicallyprecise positioningisrequiredwithhighfrequencyforscaleup.In 2003, Zettl's Group at LawrenceBerkeleyLabora-tories and UC Berkeley fabricatedthesmallest-knownnonbiologicalnanomotor. Such nanomotor usedamulti-walledcarbonnanotubeasabearingfortherotorandasanelectricalconductor[71],asshowninfigure30.Amulti-walledcarbonnanotube(MWNT)willactasasupportshaft

forametalplaterotor(R)anditalsoworksassourceof

rotational freedom. By integrating with a Si chip, the electrical contact is made via the entire actuator assembly. Since it is electrically driven, macroworld control can be applied. Up-to-date nanomotors based on various energy sources, including biochemical, optical, magnetic, and electric field, have been developed. However, as reviewed in the literature [72], current nanomotors mostly demonstrate rational moment of nanoparticles in suspensions, which cannot deliver force or torque. Their structures are still quite simple, and reliability is poor. To develop an atomic positioning system with high efficacy is still a very challenging task and needs continuous development.

It is worthwhile to note that dip-pen nanolithography (DPN)usesthepositioning-directedassemblyapproach.DPN is microscopy-based, scanning probe nanofabricationtech-

nology by combining direct-writing and soft-matter compatibilitywithhighresolution.Itisapowerfultooltodepositsoft and hard materials with high resolution up to sub-50 nm with avarietyofstableandfunctionalarchitectures.DPNhasbeen

Figure 28. Nanostructure motifs: (a) helical structure of DNA motifs with periodic 2D arrays and 3D crystal: double-crossover DNA tile [63],

4×4DNAtile[64],6×4DNAtile[65],andtensegritytriangleDNAtile[66].(b)DNAorigaminanostructures:2DDNAori gamismiley

face[67],3DDNAorigamiintheshapeofagear[68],curvedsingle-

layer3Dorigamiintheshapeofavase[69], and DNA origamigridiron

[70].(a)(Lefttoright)ReprintedbypermissionfromMacmillanPublishersLtd:Nature[63],Copyright(1998).Reproduc edwithpermission from [64].Reprinted with permission from [65].Copyright (2006) American Chemical Society. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [66], Copyright (2006). (b) (Left to right) Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [67], Copyright(2006).Reproducedwithpermissionfrom[68].Reproducedwithpermissionfrom[69].Reproducedwithper missionfrom[70].

Figure 29.DNA self-assembled tensegrity triangle unique strands organization and optical image tensegrity triangle crystals. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [66], Copyright (2009).

Figure 30.Nanoelectromechanical system (NEMS) actuator with concept design (a) and SEM image prior to HF etching (b). Scale bar: 300 nm. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [71], Copyright (2003).

Figure 31.Classic DPN mechanism: (a) molecular ink diffusing from a nanoscale tip to a surface through a water meniscus and (b) high throughput DPN using multiple tips. Reproduced with permission from [73].

employed to deposit various materials, including small organicmolecules,polymers,DNAs,proteins,peptides,metal ions, and nanoparticles. Generally, molecular inks arecoated

onto DPN tip are delivered surface and to the through а а watermeniscus, as shown in figure 31(a). The coating process is done by either vapor deposition or by dipping the tips into a diluted molecular ink solution. The deposition rate depends on the diffusion rate of the molecules. The feature size is controlled by tip/surface dwell time and the size of the water meniscus. Although AFM scanning is a low throughput process, recentdevelopments of multi-tip, parallel, high

throughput, and large-area capability of DPN will open new ways to scale up (figure 31(b)). Combining DPN with molecularbuildingblocksforatomicallyprecisefabricationis

expected, unless transport methods, assembly mechanism, and positioning accuracy are properly resolved.

Transformation

Transformation, in the macro world, indicates material deformation and shape change. In the atomic-scale world, it means atom migration from one location to another. For ACSM,therearethreemethodsoftransformingsingleatoms,

i.e. methods based on scanning tunneling microscopy(STM),

AFM, and STEM.

STM-based methods. It is well-known that researchers at IBM first manipulated xenon (Xe) atoms on a nickel (Ni) substrate with many single atoms arranged as 'IBM'[74]

(figure32).Sincethen,STMhasbecomeawell-knowntoolfor manipulation of single atoms. In an STM, a tungsten (W) or platinum–iridium (Pt-Ir) alloy conducting tip with an atomic sharpness is brought within a few atomic diameters (Ø1 nm)

from the work pieces urface under investigation [75]. Scanning

Figure 32.Manipulation of Xe atoms on a Ni (110) surface using STM. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [74], Copyright (1990).

is carried out without any physical contact. A small bias voltage in the mV range is applied, when a conducting tip is broughtclosetotheworkpiecesurface, which allows electrons to tunnel through the vacuum between them. This isso-called 'quantum tunneling.' Due to high sensitivity of tunneling current exponentially changing with tip-sample distance, tiny distance less than atomic length can be measured [76]. It is extremely sensitive for small changes measurement for individual atoms and their clusters. Due to its highsensitivity (typically0.005nm)togapwidth, the tunneling current is used

as a feedback signal to control gap. When the tip is scanning across the surface with the current sensed, the topography of the surface can be measured in two ways. With constant current, the tipheight is adjusted by the feedback loop, where the topography image is obtained via computer imaging softwareintermsoftipheightversusthexandycoordinates. In contrast, in the height mode, the current is recorded as a function of the x and y coordinates [77]. The typical STM configuration is shown in figure 33.

The tip-sample interaction is sensitive to 1 proximity location of STM-top and surface, which allows for the manipulation of single atoms or molecules one by one

Towards atomic and close-to-atomic scale manufacturing

Figure 33.Schematic illustration of STM. Reproduced from [75](2013). With permission of Springer.

[74, 78–80]. It thus allows the measurement of physical/ chemical properties of atoms/molecules, which are elusive to other experimental measurements and provides access at the atomic level [81–83]. These functionalities have been reviewed in several literature sources [84, 85].

STM can be used to manipulate atoms in parallel and vertical ways, where parallel process occurs along directions parallel to the surface, and it is so called 'lateralmanipulation

(LM)'.Vertical manipulation(VM) takes place insurface

normal direction. As descripted in [84], the LM of individual atoms generally has three experimental steps (figure 34(a)):

- (1) Approachofthetiptowardtheatom
- (2) LateralmovementoftheSTMtipalongthesurface
- (3) Retractionofthetipbacktoanimageheight

The force between tip and atom (the total force F_t) increases, when STM tip approaches atoms adsorbed on a surface(figures34(a)and(b)). Once required force strength is

reached, the tip approach is finished. The tip is thenlaterallymoved along the defined path to a final destination at which the atom will be repositioned. In this process, tip-

atomdistanceisfixedwithcurrentconstantduringoperation.Eventually,whenatomisbroughttothetargetlocation,thetip retractedbacktothenormalimageheightandtheatomremainsonthesurface.Vertical manipulation of atom is similar toloadingandunloadingprocessofacrane,wheretheatomispickedordropped using STM tip. Here the STM tipapproachestheatomuntilaphysicalcontactismade.Potentialdistributionshows a 'W' shape for initial tip and surface binding sites, as

showninfigure34(c).Onewellislocatedonthesurface

while the other is at the tip's apex [86, 87]. The W-shaped potential can be changed to a single potential well when the tipcontactsthesurface.STMtipcanabsorbanatomfrom the workpiece surface to the tip apex, due to stronger tip-atom binding than atom-surface binding. Applying tunneling current or potential bias or a mechanical movement of the tipoftenenablesthetransferofanatomfromthetipapexto

Figure 34.Schematic illustration of the STM single atom operation mode: (a) and (b) lateral manipulation and (c) and (d) vertical manipulation mode. Reproduced from [84]. © IOP Publishing Ltd. CC BY 3.0.

the surface [84]. The detailed force analysis and relevant applications can be can be found in several published books [88,89].

ThankstoSTM'sabilitytomanipulateasingleatom,

STM is now the predominant tool for developing an atomic- scale structure for studying quantum phenomena, such as quantum corrals [90] and electron resonators [91], and inducing chemical reactions at the single-molecule level[92]. Additionally, STM is also used as an APM tool for the fabrication of quantum devices such as a single-atom transistor, by Simmons and co-workers [93], which is the firsttimeaSi-based,single-atomtransistorwasbuiltfrom the bottom up. This single-atom transistor was fabricated usinga

combination of STM and hydrogen-resist lithography. The Si surface was covered by a layer of hydrogen (H). Scanning tunnelingmicroscopywasusedtoremovetheHfromspecific

regions of the surface. The wafer's surface was then exposed tophosphine (PH_3) that canonly bind with the surface at locations where the H is removed. Finally, a fresh layer of Si was growing on nanostructure surface for encapsulation,

where it keeps phosphorus (P) atoms in position and protect

them from exposure to the environment. This method has been used not only for prototypes but also for functional electronic devices, including quantum dots [94] and conduct- ing wires [95].

As shown in figure 35, STM single-atom manipulation is a low throughput process; but STM-based single-atom operation combines imaging and patterning and offers a high degree of operational control. However, the manipulation of atoms/molecules requires sophisticated instruments for con- trol of the tip-sample interaction. Scanning tunneling microscopy requires extremely clean stable surfaces, sharp tips, excellent vibration control, and sophisticatedelectronics. Scanning tunneling microscopy can only be used to scan less easily oxidized, well conductive samples, where ultrahigh vacuum is usuallyrequired.

AFM-based methods. Although STM is the primary tool for manipulating single atoms, it still has limitations: (a) the sample has to be conductive, (b) an ultrahigh degree of vacuumisneededtopreventthemetalfromoxidizing,and(c)

most of the atomic and molecular designs require cryogenic temperatures [96]. Atomic force microscopy is an

obvious alternative to STM in terms of single-atom manipulation.

Atomicforcemicroscopyisascanningprobemicroscope where the interaction force between a sharp tip and a sample is used for imaging. Figure 36shows the principle of an AFM. The sharp tip, with a diameter of atomic sharpness, is fixedattheendofaprobecantilever. The extent of interaction between the sample surface and the tip is measured via cantilever displacement, which is detected by aposition

Figure 35.AFM images of single atom transistor with deterministic positioning of a P atom in epitaxial Si: (a) 3D view and (b) 2D view. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Nanotechnology [93], Copyright (2012).

Feedback system

Figure 36.The fundamental principle of AFM.Reproduced from [97]. © IOP Publishing Ltd. CC BY 3.0.

sensitivephotodiode(PSPD)fromareflectedlaserbeamfrom the cantilever beam. Atomic force microscopy generally has three operating modes: contact, noncontact, and tapping. In contact mode, the cantilever tip comes into contact with the sample surface. The force between the sample and tip is directly proportional to the displacement and the force constant of the cantilever. The force, or height, is kept consistent; and the laser beam reflection, or the scanning voltage, is measured to be converted into an image. In the noncontactmode, the tipisoscillatedatits force causes changes in both the amplitude and the phase of the AFM probevibration. Combined with the system's feedbackloop, a constant oscillation amplitude, or frequency, is maintained by adjusting the average tip-to-sample distance, which measures

the tip-to-sample distance at each (x, y) data point and allows the scanning software to construct a topographic image of the samplesurface.Inthetappingmode,thetiposcillationisthen

movedtowardthesampleuntiltheAFMtiplightlytouches or taps the surface. The reduction in oscillation amplitude from thetiptouchingisusedtomeasurethesurface.

Similar to STM, AFM has two operating modes in terms of atom manipulation: (1) lateral and (2) vertical.Atomic

force microscopy is generally working in the tapping mode, and the tip-surface interaction force includes the van der Waals force, the electrostatic force, and the magnetic-dipole interactions in a vacuum condition. Sugimoto et al [98] first developedamanipulationapproachforsingleatoms. They

substituted tin (Sn) adatoms on germanium (Ge) $(1 \ 1 \ 1)$ -c (2×8) surfaces by lateral manipulation of the Snadatom. First, the AFM scanning direction was aligned (arrowin

Figure 37.AFM tip based lateral manipulation for substitutionalSnadatoms on a Ge surface: (a) surface image before tip activation of

interchange between Snand Geadatoms; (b) surfaces can with the line connecting the centers of the adatoms, and (c) image for control of the state of the state

Snadatom without perturbing the adatoms. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Materials [98], Copyright (2005).

Figure 38.Atomic force microscopy tip controls the vertical manipulation of a Sn-Si atoms exchange: (a) Vertical interchange of the interacting atoms [99] to enable one to (b) 'write' and (c) 'erase' atomic markers. Atom manipulation to form patterns with AFM at room temperature (d) with complex contacts between tip and surface [96].(a) Reproduced with permission from [99]. (d) Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Nanotechnology [96], Copyright (2009).

figure 37(a)) with the vector of the Snadatom and the first neighboring Geadatom used a slow tip scan. Then, the tip- surface distance was reduced in successive topographic line scans as the attraction force increased between atom surface. Once threshold the outermost tip apex and the at the the force for substitutional Snand Gead atoms was overcome, the Sn

adatomfollowedthemotionoftheAFMtip(figure37(b))and completed the interchange (figure 37(c)). In thevertical manipulation mode, as discovered by Sugimoto et al [99], when the AFM tip gradually approached the surface, there was a threshold interaction at which the energy barriers for the interchange of the closest tip and surface atoms. Once the threshold was reduced below a certain value, it allowed a thermally activated interchange of Sn and Si atoms at room temperature. This was different from STM, where an atom weakly bonded onto a metallic surface can be reversibly

Figure 39.Schematic of a STEM equipped with an aberration corrector. Reproduced with permission from [102].

transferred between the tip and the surface by applying an appropriate bias voltage, and it requires high level vacuum and ultra-low temperature.

Theverticalmanipulationmethodsignificantlydecreases operation time needed to create similar atomic patterns by almost a factor of ten. Only 1.5 h are needed, most of which was imaging time for building the structures showninfigure 38. Compared to STM, AFM is able to engineer complex atomic patterns of strongly bound atoms at heterogeneous semiconductor surfaces at room temperature. In addition, AFM single-atom manipulation can be applied to nonconductivematerialsaswell,suchascalciumfluoride

(CAF₂) [100]. A comprehensive review was done by

Custanceet al [96] that summarized AFM for atom manipulation. Although still in its early stage development, atom manipulation with AFM has demonstrated tremendous potential for exploring the fundamental properties of matter at the nanoscale.

STEM-based methods. A STEM is based on combination of the principle for TEM and SEM. Unlike conventional TEM, where images are formed by electrons passing through a sufficiently thin sample, STEM scans samplewithaveryfinelyfocusedbeamofelectronsinaraster pattern. The signal generated from the interaction between beam electrons and sample atoms is correlated with beam position to build a virtual image. The grey level at the corresponding location in the image represent location. Compared to conventional SEM, STEM significantly improve in spatial resolution at around 0.2 nm for imaging at the atomic level [101]. The main components of an aberration-corrected STEM are shown in figure 39. The electrons are accelerated from a source and focused into apoint on the specimen by a set of condenser lenses and an objective lens. The electron scan is carried out by scanning across the sample using a set of scan coils. The parallel collection of different signals from a variety of detectors can beusedtoformanimageinserieswithbrightfield,annular

dark field, and high-angle annular dark field with resolution down to the atomic scale.

 $\label{eq:steps} Figure 39 shows the schematic for an aberration-corrected $$STEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lenses and an objective $$lens, electrons are accelerated and focused on to a position of a $$STEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lenses and an objective $$lens, electrons are accelerated and focused on to a position of a $$STEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lenses and an objective $$ISTEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lense $$ISTEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lense $$ISTEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lense $$ISTEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lenses and an objective $$ISTEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lenses $$ISTEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lenses $$ISTEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lense $$ISTEM.Withhelp of a set of condenser lenses $$ISTE$

specimen. Anobjective aperture limits the maximum angle of illumination included in the incident probe, which is scanned across the sample by a set of scance illumination.

Except for imaging, STEM has also been employed as a tool for manipulation of single atoms. Susi et al [103, 104] used aNionUltraSTEM[™]100 operated at 60 kVin near-

ultrahighvacuum(2×10⁻⁷Pa)tomanipulateSiatomsin

monolay ergraphene. As shown in figure 40, they first used

the STEM to identify a clean area of monolayer graphene with one or more embedded Si atoms. Then, the electron beamwaspositionedontopofaselectedCneighbourtoSi.

After irradiation for a certain time (typically 15 s), oneimage

was captured to detect the atom location. Occasionally, once sufficient impact coming from electron beam is achieved, Si and C switches places in the lattice. Irradiation of correct atom can be achieved via manual alter location when atom shifted. Such procedure was repeated iteratively to move the atom through the lattice. However, as they have stated, the degree of control over atom movement was still not as good

as in STM due to the following issues: (1) it is not determined

to changes in structure when placing the beam on the C atom towards where the Si was aiming to move; (2) potential problem of C knocked out during irradiation; (3) lesscontrol

of Si movement during operation, which can jump in either the wrong direction or over several lattice sites between the captured frames. Recently, Dycket al [105] introduced Si substitutional defects and defect clusters into a graphene lattice through electron beam scanning from Si source material into pristine graphene or by irradiation of the graphene lattice and source material creating vacancies in the graphene lattice for potential sputtered Si atoms. They subsequently used an electron beam to manipulate the Si defects introduced using controlled scan areas or direct stationary beam irradiation to induce movement. Figure41

Electron beam manipulation

Figure 40.Manipulation of Si impurities in graphene using STEM electron beam.(Top) Manipulation process illustration.(Bottom) Experiment. The Si impurity was relocated from one site to another. (Top) Reprinted from [102], Copyright (2017), with permission from Elsevier. (Bottom) Reproduced from [106]. © IOP Publishing Ltd. CC BY 3.0.

Figure 41. Manipulation of Sidimer from electron beam irradiation: (a) initial configuration, (b) abond rotation, (c) after bond rotation, (d) a

Siadatomtemporarilyattachingtothedefect. Theadatomwasknockedawayandwereturntotheconfigurationshownin(e)whichappears

identical to that in (c). (f) An adatom is recaptured and incorporated into the lattice. Repeated operation through electron be a mmanipulation (g)-(j). [105] John Wiley & Sons.

Figure 42.Hydrogen-resist lithography for incorporating single- atomPdopantsintoaSisurface(100).(Left)(a)-

(e)Cross-sectional schematicsoffabricationstepswith(f)3Dsketchofthefinaldevice. (Right) Corresponding (a) STM tip aligned to registration markers,

(b) STM images of P incorporated wires, (c) before and (d) after H resist removal, and (e) 25 nm molecular beam epitaxy Si overgrowth, concluding with (f) an optical microscope image of the

final device with contact wires. Reprinted with permission from

[121]. Copyright (2004) American Chemical Society.

shows how the Si forms a Si timer or tetramers and induces bond rotation.

However, the manipulation of single atoms using STEM is still in the very early stages, but it provides an alternative option for single-atom operation in addition to STM and AFM. Since the properties of materials are determined by their chemical structure, single-atom manipulation can be a

powerful tool for understanding the atomic-scale physics of materials.

3. Measurement and evaluation

Atomic and close-to-atomic scale refers to both atomic-scale features and atomic-precision structures, which require mea- surement methods down to the single-atom level. Currently, STM, AFM, and TEM/STEM are the only tools capable of measuring and evaluating ACSM-fabricated components. In section 2.3, transformation-based ACSM was introduced usingSTM,AFM,andSTEM,astheyarecapableofimaging and manipulating single atoms. The principle of these instruments is not repeated here. Instead, a comparative summary of the characteristics of STM, AFM, and STEM for the measurement and evaluation of ACSM-fabricated components is provided,asdetailedintable1.

As single-atom manipulation and atomic-level image are combined in STM, AFM, and STEM, it is possible to carry out single-atom manipulation. Compared to conventional manufacturing processes, measurements can be separated from the manufacturing processes, which appears to be impossible for single-atom-based manipulation.

4. Applications

Applications are critical to drive the development of tech- nologies and fundamental science of ACSM. The research into ACSM is motivated by either single-atom-based devices or complex nanosystems with atomic-level precision. Although single-atom manipulation started in the 1980s, researchers have not achieved much success in creating sin- gle-atomic components. In terms of nanosystems, researchers are still struggling to create commercial nanosystemswith atomic-level precision. Until now, the most successful pro- cess of ACSM is atomic-layer deposition, which provides controllableandconformalthinfilmsattheAngstromlevel.It has been widely used in the energy conversion industry

(photovoltaics, fuel cells) [109, 110] and the semiconductor industry (high-k transistors) [111, 112], as one of theprocess

chains integrated into existing product production. However, the development of ACSM has the potential to broadly influence human life, from fundamental materials, life sci- ence, agriculture, and information technologies, with the potential to revolutionize production [113]. We are still in the infancy stage of development of ACSM and starting to explore the fundamental science behind the operation of atoms/molecules with atomic precision with limited avail- ability of atomic devices. This section will discuss a few achievements in atomic-level devices in the area of nanoelectonics.

Quantumcomputers

Quantum computers are believed to have higher the com- putational efficiency of ordinary classical machines due to

Figure 43.Single atom based device: (a) a $90 \times 900 \text{ nm}^2$ quantum wire [121]; (b) single atom transistor [93]; (c) two Si:P quantum dots

[122] and (d) a single-electron transistor (SET) [123].(a) Reprinted with permission from [121]. Copyright (2004) American Chemical Society.(b) Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Nanotechnology [93], Copyright (2012). (c) Reprinted with permission from [122]. Copyright (2012) American Chemical Society.(d) Reprinted with permission from [123]. Copyright (2011) American Chemical Society.

quantum algorithms for the execution of certain tasks with fewer steps. With the development of quantum algorithms and the discovery of quantum error-correcting codes, quantum computers are becoming an increasingly interesting topic [114]. One of the earliest concepts for the development of solid-state quantum computers was using arrays with P atoms in a Si crystal, which provided two nuclear spin states with abasic quantum bit (qubit). Such adevice was

implemented based on dopants in Si [114]. The introduction of these dopants into Sirelies on low energy implantation

[115] or in-diffusion from highly doped contact regions [107, 116]. However, the position accuracy of dopant is \sim 10 nm, while the implementation of a quantum device requires

positioning an individual P atom into Si with atomic preci- sion[93, 117].

Scanning tunnelling microscopy has been realized as a single-atom manipulation tool and was used to position P

dopants with atomic precision. In this process, P dopant atoms weremanipulated with atomic precision by using STM-based lithography on H passivated Si (100) surfaces to

control the adsorption and subsequent incorporation of single P dopant atoms into the Si (100) surface [93, 118–120]. As shown in figure 42(a), the surface of Si (100) was firstheated

to 1,250 °C for one minute to clean the surface. Atomic Hfor

dosing was created by cracking molecular H with 1,500 $^\circ\!\mathrm{C}$ until the Si surface was saturated. Scanning tunnelling

microscopy working under ultrahigh vacuum was used to desorb an H atom with the tip based on an appliedpotential basis to form a pattern with atomic precision. Then the PH_3 molecules were introduced to the STM-patterned,

H-terminated Si(001) surfaces. Due tolower annealing

temperature for P atom incorporation compared to H-desorption temperature, the H-resist layer effectively blocked any surface diffusion of P atoms before their

Figure 44.Design and TEM analysis of aptamer-gated DNA nanorobot: (a) nanorobot loaded with a protein payload; (b) aptamer lock mechanism; (c) perspective view of nanorobot opened by protein displacement of aptamer locks; (d) payloads loading inside thenanorobot;

(e) assembly of an an or obotto 97.5% yield in closed state as assessed by manual counting; and (f) TEM images of robots in closed and open and the state of th

conformations. Reproduced with permission from [124].

Figure 45.Theoretical strength of materials.Reproduced with permission from [129].

incorporation into the substrate surface. Thanks to dissocia- tion of three PH₃ molecules within the three-dimer PH₂+H under high does further prohibited. site into rates. reaction is ThePH2fragmentwasrecombined with a hydrogen atom and desorbafter heating surface to 350°C. The resulting availability of one free Si site enabled the immediate dis- sociation of another PH₂ to PH+H. Until the final PH₂ recombined with H, the remaining PH is dissociate to P. Finally, the P atom was subsequently incorporated into top layer of Si surface at 350 °C by ejection of a Si adatom.STM hydrogen lithography has been adapted to fabricate atomic- scale Si device and was developed to be connected with multiple voltage and current leads to each device by devel- oping a novel strategy to realize true 3Datomic-scale devices [121]. As a result, a couple of quantum devices have been fabricatedbasedonSTMHlithography,asshownin figure 43.

Lifesciences

Cells are information-rich, sophisticated machines with atomic-level assembly precision. Unlike Si-based quantum computers, positioning of single-atom dopants is critical. Structural DNA protein engineering requires cise assembly and control. Due to the strict pairing atomically prerule for DNAstrands.DNAcanbeprogrammable:butsuchcontrolis not as accurate as in nature. It requires ACSM to push the boundary to the atomic level. In contrast to DNA, RNA and proteins have more refined architectures with atomic-level features. Progress has been made to explore the assembly of interfaces of various proteins and to understand the under-lying mechanisms. Such work could make a series of

Table 1.Comparison between AFM, STM, and STEM [101, 102, 107, 108].SamplematerialResolutionAdvantagesDisadvantages

STM(quantumtunneling) Conductive materials

0.1 nm lateral resolution

0.01 nm depth resolution

Ultrahigh vacuum, air, water, and other liquids and gases.

Operate in temperatures as low as zero Kelvin up to a few hundred degrees Celsius. Requires very stable and clean surfaces, excellent vibration control, and sharp tips. Can only be used to scan samples that are not easily oxidized and conduct well. Uses highly specialized equipment that is fragile and expensive.

AFM (atomicinteractionforce)AnymaterialsLateral resolutionis~30 nmGenerates true, high-resolution 3Dsurfaceimages.Smaller image size compared to whatelectronmicroscopes can create.Smaller image size compared to whatelectron

0.1 nm depth resolution

Does not require special sample treatments that can result in a sample's destruction or alteration. Does not require a vacuum environment in order to operate (can operate in both air and liquid). Slow in scanning an image, unlike an electron microscope which does it in almost real-time.

It is not true sample topography but the interaction between the probe with the sample surface.

STEM (signal generated from interaction between beam electrons and sample atoms)Could be used fororganicmaterials. Expensivetips.Anymaterials0.05nm Large-area andfastscanning.Thin section of samples. Expensiveequipment. Grey images without 3D topography.

Multiple detectors operating simultaneously to col- lect the maximum possible information from each scan.

applications possible, such as molecular and cellular bio- physics, biomimetic systems, energy transfer and photonics, diagnostic, and therapeutics for human health [59]. For example, DNA structures can be used to build disease-tar- geting units for diagnostics and therapeutics. The DNA is designed to be a hollow cage into which multiple pharma- cological species can be directed. Based on DNA computing, DNA structure can be used as a vehicle to detect disease markers and release drugs based on external stimuli. Such strategy has been realized by Douglas et al [124]. They developed logic-gated nanorobots to transport molecular payloads to cells, sense cell surface inputs for condition triggeredactivation, and reconfiguration of its structure for

payload delivery(figure 44). To assemble DNA nanos-

tructurestoformfunctionaldevicesrequiresprecisecontrolof their relative orientation and position and integration of pro- teinsforDNAcomputing[125], wheresite-specificcontrolof assembly can be important to enable such a precision arrangement. However, researchers have shown that struc- tured DNA nanostructures have the potential to precisely deliverdrugsintotargetcells. Itisstillchallengingtotransfer DNA nanostructures from mere curiosities into real-world solutions, as the cost of synthetic DNA is very high as is the error rate of self-assembly [59, 125]. Directed assembly of macromolecules, such as DNA, protein, and peptide, is pro- mising to add additional control for forming complex, atomically functional structures.

Ultrahigh-performancematerials

As in early science fiction, writers have described ultrahigh- performance materials called 'monomolecular wire' for cut- ting through glass, metal, and flesh and for building space elevators [126, 127]. Building such strong materials is chal- lenging. If we look at the materials around us, their strength is far below their estimated values, as shown in figure 45. This is due to material defects, such as voids, cracks, and dis- locations in the lattice structure, etc. Therefore, there is still a large opportunity to increase material performance. For example, Szlufarskaetal [128] demonstrated apreciseatomic mechanism to give the 'nanostructured' ceramic material, SiC, both exceptional hardness and ductility, thanks to the crossover that arises from the interplay between cooperative grain sliding, grain rotations, and intergranular dislocation formation, similar to stick-slip behavior. Their molecular dynamic study indicated that the estimated hardness of n-SiCof 39 GPa is in agreement with experimental values of 'super hardness' of 30 to 50 GPa for grain sizes of 5 to 20 nm. As a result, the control of a material's nanostructure will not only improve its performance but potentially provide additional to the control of a material's nanostructure will not only improve its performance but potentially provide material for the statement of the state

termsoftherangeofmaterialsthatcanbeimproved.

Molecularcircuits

Molecular electronics, also known as moletronics, deals with the assembly of molecular electronic components using molecules as the building blocks. Molecular electronicsshows potential influence in electronics and photonics with many application from conducting polymers, photochromics, organic superconductors, to electrochromics and many more [130]. Self-assembly and direct assembly are important methods for adsorbing the molecules on an electrode [131]. One of the important issues that arises is how to attach the probe electrodes to either side of a molecule. Positioning and patterning molecules selectively on a surface with molecular precisioniscriticallyimportant. Theidealconnectionrequires an atomically precise interface between the two components with an optimal conductive path. Parallelization and auto- mation are critical to integrate molecular electronic devices into an array of high reliability interfaced with microelec- tronicsystems.

Otherapplications

Although ACSM is still in its infancy, it shows significant potential for applications in many fields, such as drug devel- opment, efficient solar photovatic cells, efficient high power density fuel cells, single-molecule and single-electron sensors, biomedical sensors, high density computer memory, highly

selectivecatalysts,displayandlightingsystems,smartmaterials, etc[52]. According to a recent assessment of atomic precision manufacturing from Umbrelloand Baum [132], ACSM will have a broad influence on many sectors from general material wealth, environmental issues, military affairs, surveillance, to artificial intelligence and space travel. Its positive effects could befound formaterial wealth, the environment, military affairs

(specifically nuclear disarmament), and space travel, while negative effects were found for military affairs (specifically rogueactorviolence) and artificial intelligence. Then the effect

forsurveillance was ambiguous. They suggested that effective governance strategies should be developed to increase the benefits and reduce the harm coming from ACSM.

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE

Sincethefirstindustrialrevolutioninthe1760s,humanbeings have experienced unprecedented development of tool preci-sion,havingshiftedfrommillimeterleveltomicrometerlevel, single-

nanometerlevel,andatomiclevel.Ithasbeen30years since human beings were able to manipulate a single atom usinganSTM.Today,thefamousMoore'slawisapproaching its physical limit. Computer microprocessors, such as the recently announced A12 Bionic chip and Kirlin 980, use a 7 nm manufacturing process with 6.9 billion transistors in a centimetersquarechip.Suchlimitshavebeenpushedtoa5nm node and even a 3 nm node [11], which represents a few tens of atoms. Human beings are already stepping into the atomic era.Meanwhile,humansocietyisfacingunprecedentedglobal

challengesfromdepletingnaturalresources, pollution, climate change, clean water, and poverty [135]. Such challenges are directly linked to the physical characteristics of our current technologybaseforproducingenergyandmaterialproducts. It is the time to start changing both products and means of pro- duction. Atomic ACSM includes all of the steps necessarytoconvert raw materials, components, or parts into products designed to meetusers's pecifications. The processes involved in ACSM are not only precise at the remove,add,ortransformtheworkmaterialinatomicand/or atomic level but also close-toatomicscale.Atomicandclose-to-atomicscaleman- ufacturing will provide a fundamental competence for the production of the fourth generation of core elements in con- temporaryindustrialization.

Assummarized in the above sections, human beings have already walked into the atomic world. People have developed

some single-atom operation methods and are trying to develop simple devices based on these methods. Exploring the secret of macromolecular assembly in the life sciences provides a means for humans to understand the keys of life but can only manipulate a few molecules in a very simple fashion. Ultraprecision machining has pushed the limits of precision down to the sub-nanometer range, but atomic pre- cision machining systems capable of generating complex atomic structures still do notexist.

What shall we do in the future?

- Explore nature in terms of ACSM: Atomic and close- to-atomic scale manufacturing processes are still quite limited to single atom manipulation and macromolecular assembly; and the fundamental mechanisms of these processesneedtobeexplored. Additionally,moreACSM processes should be explored and developed, such as a recentworkonbuildingamoleculefromtwoatomsusing optical tweezers[133].
- Functional devices: It has been 30 years since the invention of STM. However, the number of functional devices developed is still quite limited, although we have witnessedtheconceptionanddemonstrationofthesingle- atom transistor [93] or single-electron transistor [134]. More applied devices need to be developed based on ACSMprocesses.
- Extensive materials: Existing ACSM processes can only manipulate a limited number of materials with limited capability, such as STM to manipulate weakly bonded atoms. Such limitations can also be found in AFM and STEMmanipulation.Macromolecularassemblyislimited to DNA, proteins, and peptides; and their interfacing mechanism is still not clear. There is still a large amount of materials out of reach. We are still far away from developing atomically perfectmaterials.
- High throughput: As a manufacturing process, the final goal is that ACSM will be used to convert raw materials, components, or parts into products designed to meet users' specifications. As a result, high throughput via parallelization and automation is critically important. This means atomic manipulation needs to be connected to mass production using machinery. It will be one of the most important steps to developing atomically precise machine tools to converge atomic precision with atomic-scale manufacturing. In addition, atomically flat surfaces with- out dangling bonds and other defects are also important for the fabrication of atomic-level devices [135].

Atomic and close-to-atomic scale manufacturing is still in its infancy. The discovery of atomic devices, novel mate- rials, and life sciences will lead to new opportunities to apply ACSM to meet the challenges faced by human beings. As a representative of the next generation manufacturing technol- ogy, startup, planning, and implementation will play a posi- tive role in supporting the next phase of manufacturing development, i.e. Manufacturing III.

REFERENCES

- [1] FeynmanRP1992There'splentyofroomatthebottom[data storage] J. Microelectromech. Syst. 160-6
- [2] Drexler K E 1993 Nanosystems: molecular machinery, manufacturing, and computation Comput. Stand. Interfaces 15319–20
- [3] Eric Drexler D P 2013 Nano-solutions for the 21st century Report Oxford Martin School (https://oxfordmartin.ox.ac.uk/downloads/academic/201310Nano_Solutions.pdf)
- [4] Drexler K E, Randall J, Corchnoy S, Kawczak A and Steve M L 2007 Productive nanosystems: a technology roadmap Report Battelle Memorial Institute andForesightNanotech Institute (https://foresight.org/roadmaps/Nanotech_Roadmap_2007_main.pdf)
- [5] National Nanotechnology Initiative 2018 DOE: Atomically precise manufacturing 2018, phase I release II (https://nano.gov/node/1957)
- [6] Fang F Z 2014 Manufacturing III Invited Seminar University College Dublin p11
- [7] Fang F Z 2016 Atomic and close-to-atomic scale manufacturing—a trend in manufacturing developmentFrontiers Mech. Eng. 11325–7
- [8] Taniguchi N, Arakawa C and Kobayashi T 1974 On the basic concept of 'nano-technology'Proc. Int. Conf. on Production Engineering vol 1974–8 pp18–23
- [9] Gordon T E 1990 Circumferential and inner diameter metrologyfortheadvancedx-rayastrophysicsfacilityopticsProc. SPIE 1333239– 48
- [10] Shore P and Morantz P 2012 Ultra-precision: enabling ourfuturePhil.Trans.R.Soc.A3703993–4014
- [11] https://imec-int.com/en/articles/imec-and-cadence-tape-out-industry-s-first-3nm-test-chip
- [12] Alexander S 2016 Why the internet is outgrowing Moore's law? (https://weforum.org/agenda/2016/01/why-the- internet-isoutgrowing-moore-s-law)
- [13] Wu W, Xu Z, Fang F, Liu B, Xiao Y, Chen J, Wang X and Liu H 2014 Decrease of FIB-induced lateral damage for diamond tool used in nano cutting Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 33091–8
- [14] Fang F Z, Wu H and Liu Y C 2005 Modelling and experimental investigation on nanometric cutting of monocrystalline silicon Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf. 451681–6
- [15] Fang F Z and Xu F 2018 Recent advances in micro/nano- cutting: effect of tool edge and material propertiesNanomanuf. Metrol. 14–31
- [16] Zhu P and Fang F Z 2016 Study of the minimum depth of material removal in nanoscale mechanical machining of single crystalline copper Comput. Mater. Sci. 118192–202
- [17] Kalpakjian S, VijaiSekar K and Schmid S R 2014 Manufacturing Engineering and Technology (Singapore: Pearson)
- [18] BrinksmeierE, MutlugünesY, KlockeF, AurichJC, Shore P and Ohmori H 2010 Ultra-precision grinding CIRP Ann 59 652–71
- [19] MoriYINetal1980Numericallycontrolledelasticemission machineJ.JapanSoc.Precis.Eng.461537-44
- [20] Takino H, Kanaoka M and Nomura K 2011 Ultraprecision machining of optical surfaces Proc. ISUPEN (2011 JSPE SpringMeeting)
- [21] Van Bui P, Sano Y, Morikawa Y and Yamauchi K 2018 Characteristics and mechanism of catalyst-referred etching method: application to 4H-SiC Int. J. Auto. Technol. 12 154–9
- [22] Hara H, Sano Y, Mimura H, Arima K, Kubota A, Yagi K, MurataJandYamauchK2006Novelabrasive-free planarization of 4H-SiC (0001) using catalyst J. Electron.Mater.35 L11–4
- [23] Mori Y, Yamauchi K, Yamamura K and Sano Y 2000 Development of plasma chemical vaporization machiningRev. Sci. Instrum. 714627–32
- [24] YamamuraK, TakiguchiT, UedaM, DengH, Hattori A N and Zettsu N 2011 Plasma assisted polishing of single crystal SiC for obtaining atomically flat strain-free surface CIRP Ann 60 571–4
- [25] Economou N P, Notte J A and Thompson W B 2011 The history and development of the helium ion microscopeScanning 3483–9
- [26] Ward B, Notte J A and Economou N 2006 Helium ion microscope: a new tool for nanoscale microscopy and metrologyJ.Vac.Sci.Technol.B242871–4
- [27] WuH,SternLA,XiaD,FerrantiD,ThompsonB,KleinKL,GonzalezCMandRackPD2014Focusedheliumionbeam deposited low resistivity cobalt metal lines with 10 nm resolution: implications for advanced circuiteditingJ. Mater. Sci., Mater.Electron.25 587– 95
- [28] Bell D C, Lemme M C, Stern L A, Williams J R and Marcus C M 2009 Precision cutting and patterning ofgraphene with helium ions Nanotechnology 20455301
- [29] Fox D, Chen Y, Faulkner C C and Zhang H 2012 Nano- structuring, surface and bulk modification with a focused heliumionbeamBeilsteinJ.Nanotechnol.3579
- [30] Rudneva M, van Veldhoven E, Malladi S K, Maas D and Zandbergen H W 2013 Novel nanosample preparation withaheliumionmicroscopeJ.Mater.Res.281013–20
- [31] Kollmann H et al 2014 Towardplasmonics with nanometer precision: nonlinear optics of helium-ion milled goldnanoantennasNano Lett. 144778–84
- [32] Wang Y, Abb M, Boden S A, Aizpurua J, de Groot C H and Muskens O L 2013 Ultrafast nonlinear control of progressively loaded, single plasmonicnanoantennas fabricatedusingheliumionmillingNanoLett.135647–53
- [33] Abbas A N, Liu G, Liu B, Zhang L, Liu H, Ohlberg D, Wu W and Zhou C 2014 Patterning, characterization, and chemical sensing applications of graphenenanoribbon arrays down to 5 nm using helium ion beam lithographyACS Nano 81538–46
- [34] Naitou Y, Iijima T and Ogawa S 2015 Directnano-patterning of graphene with helium ion beams Appl. Phys. Lett. 106 033103
- [35] Xu W and Lee T-W 2016 Recent progress in fabrication techniques of graphenenanoribbonsMater. Horizons 3 186–207
- [36] Zhou Y and Loh K P 2010 Making patterns on grapheneAdv.Mater.22 3615–20
- [37] Fox D S et al 2015 Nanopatterning and electrical tuning of MoS₂ layers with a subnanometer helium ion beam NanoLett. 155307-

13

- [38] LiuX,XuT,WuX,ZhangZ,YuJ,QiuH,HongJ-H, Jin C-H, Li J-X and Wang X-R 2013 Top–down fabrication of subnanometres emiconducting nanoribbons derived from molybden umdisulfides heets Nat. Commun. 41776
- [39] Lin J, Cretu O, Zhou W, Suenaga K, Prasai D, Bolotin K I, Cuong N T, Otani M, Okada S and Lupini A R 2014 Flexible metallic nanowires with self-adaptive contacts to semiconducting transition-metal dichalcogenidemonolayersNat. Nanotechnol. 9436
- [40] Gu H. Li G. Liu С, Yuan F, Han F. Zhang L and Wu S 2017 ConsiderableknockondisplacementofmetalatomsunderalowenergyelectronbeamSci.Rep.7184
- [41] Egerton R F 2012 Mechanisms of radiation damage in beam- sensitive specimens, for TEM accelerating voltagesbetween10and300kVMicrosc.Res.Techn.751550-6
- [42] Charlene J L, Aiden M, Matthew R P and Milos T 2012 Electron beam induced chemical dry etching and imaging ingaseous NH₃ environments Nanotechnology 23375302
- [43] Zhao X, Kotakoski J, Meyer J C, Sutter E, Sutter P, Krasheninnikov A V, Kaiser U and Zhou W 2017 Engineering and modifying two-dimensional materials byelectron beams MRS Bull. 42667–76
- [44] EngelmannS,BruceR,NakamuraM,MetzlerD,Walton S and Joseph E 2015 Challenges of tailoring surface chemistry and plasma/surface interactions to advance atomic layer etching ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol. 4N5054–60
- [45] KanarikKJ,TanS,HollandJ,EpplerA,VahediV, Marks J and Gottscho R A 2014 Moving atomic layer etch from lab to fab (https://electroiq.com/2014/01/moving-atomic-layer-etch-from-lab-to-fab/)
- [46] Jae Beom P, Woong Sun L, Byoung Jae P, Ih Ho P, Young Woon K and Geun Young Y 2009 Atomic layer etching of ultra-thin HfO₂ film for gate oxide in MOSFET devicesJ.Phys.D:Appl.Phys.42055202
- [47] Chen L, Wen J, Zhang P, Yu B, Chen C, Ma T, Lu X, Kim S H and Qian L 2018 Nanomanufacturing of silicon surface with a single atomic layer precision viamechanochemical reactions Nat. Commun. 91542
- [48] Malshe A, Rajurkar K, Virwani K, Taylor C, Bourell D, Levy G, Sundaram M, McGeoughJ,Kalyanasundaram V and Samant A 2010 Tip-based nanomanufacturing by electrical, chemical, mechanical and thermal processes CIRP Ann 59 628–51
- [49] Johnson R W, Hultqvist A and Bent S F 2014 A brief review of atomic layer deposition: from fundamentals to applications Mater. Today 17236–46
- [50] GeorgeSM2010Atomiclayerdeposition:anoverview Chem. Rev. 110 111-31
- [51] Liu J, Banis M N, Li X, Lushington A, Cai M, Li R, Sham T-K and Sun X 2013 Atomic layer deposition of lithium tantalate solidstate electrolytes J. Phys. Chem. C11720260–7
- [52] King N P, Bale J B, Sheffler W, McNamara D E, Gonen S, Gonen T, Yeates T O and Baker D 2014 Accurate design of coassembling multi-component protein nanomaterialsNature 510103
- [53] Luo Q, Hou C, Bai Y, Wang R and Liu J 2016 Protein assembly: versatile approaches to construct highly ordered nanostructures Chem. Rev. 11613571–632
- [54] Wilkinson A and McNaught A 1997 IUPAC compendium of chemicalterminology(the'GoldBook')InternationalUnion ofPureandAppliedChemistry(https://goldbook.iupac.org)
- [55] De Santis E and Ryadnov M G 2015 Peptide self-assembly for nanomaterials: the old new kid on the block Chem.Soc.Rev. 44 8288–300
- [56] Wang J, Liu K, Xing R and Yan X 2016 Peptide self- assembly: thermodynamics and kinetics Chem. Soc. Rev. 455589–604
- [57] Eskandari S, Guerin T, Toth I and Stephenson R J 2017 Recentadvancesinself-assembledpeptides:implicationsfor targeted drug delivery and vaccine engineering Adv.Drug.Deliv. Rev. 110-111 169–87
- [58] Habibi N, Kamaly N, Memic A and Shafiee H 2016 Self- assembled peptide-based nanostructures: smart nanomaterials toward targeted drug delivery Nano Today1141–60
- [59] PinheiroAV,HanD,ShihWMandYanH2011Challenges and opportunities for structural DNA nanotechnology Nat.Nanotechnol.6763
- [60] Aldaye F A, Palmer A L and Sleiman H F 2008 Assembling materialswithDNAastheguideScience3211795–9
- [61] Kuzuya A and Komiyama M 2010 DNA origami: fold, stick, and beyond Nanoscale2309–21
- [62] Tørring T, Voigt N V, Nangreave J, Yan H and Gothelf K V 2011 DNA origami: a quantum leap for self-assembly of complexstructuresChem.Soc.Rev.405636–46
- [63] WinfreeE,LiuF,WenzlerLAandSeemanNC1998Design and self-assembly of two-dimensional DNA crystals Nature394539
- [64] Yan H, Park S H, Finkelstein G, Reif J H and LaBean T H 2003 DNA-templated self-assembly of protein arrays and highly conductive nanowires Science 3011882–4
- [65] He Y, Tian Y, Ribbe A E and Mao C 2006 Highly connected two-dimensional crystals of DNA six-point-stars J.Am.Chem. Soc. 128 15978–9
- [66] Zheng J, Birktoft J J, Chen Y, Wang T, Sha R, Constantinou P E, Ginell S L, Mao C and Seeman N C2009 From molecular to macroscopic via the rational design of æelf-assembled3DDNAcrystalNature46174
- [67] Rothemund P W 2006 Folding DNA to create nanoscale shapes and patterns Nature 440297
- [68] DietzH,DouglasSMandShihWM2009FoldingDNAinto twisted and curved nanoscale shapes Science 325725–30
- [69] Han D, Pal S, Nangreave J, Deng Z, Liu Y and Yan H 2011 DNAorigamiwithcomplexcurvatures in three-dimensional space Science 332342–6
- [70] Han D, Pal S, Yang Y, Jiang S, Nangreave J, Liu Y and Yan H 2013 DNA gridiron nanostructures based on four-arm junctions Science 3391412–5
- [71] Fennimore A M, Yuzvinsky T D, Han W-Q, Fuhrer M S, Cumings J and Zettl A 2003 Rotational actuators based oncarbon nanotubes Nature 424408
- [72] Kim K, Guo J, Liang Z, Zhu F and Fan D 2016 Man-made rotary nanomotors: a review of recent developmentsNanoscale810471– 90
- [73] Dip-pen nanolithography(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Dip-pen_nanolithography)
- [74] EiglerDMandSchweizerEK1990Positioningsingleatoms with a scanning tunnelling microscope Nature 344 524
- [75] Oura K, Lifshits V, Saranin A, Zotov A and Katayama M 2013 Surface Science: An Introduction (Basel:Springer)
- [76] Meyer E, Hug H J and Bennewitz R 2004 Scanning Probe Microscopy (Berlin: Springer) pp15-44
- [77] BryantA,SmithDandQuateC1986Imaginginrealtime with the tunneling microscope Appl. Phys. Lett. 48 832–4
- [78] Braun K-F and Rieder K-H 2002 Engineering electronic lifetimes in artificial atomic structures Phys. Rev. Lett. 88096801
- [79] Hla S-W, Braun K-F and Rieder K-H 2003 Single-atom manipulation mechanisms during a quantum corral construction Phys. Rev.

B 67201402

- [80] Lorente N, Rurali R and Tang H 2005 Single-molecule manipulationandchemistrywiththeSTMJ.Phys.:Condens. Matter 17 S1049
- [81] Braun K-F and Hla S-W 2005 Probing the conformation of physisorbed molecules at the atomic scale using STM manipulation Nano Lett. 573–6
- [82] Heinrich B W, Iacovita C, Brumme T, Choi D-J, LimotL, Rastei M V, Hofer W A, Kortus J and Bucher J-P 2010 Direct observation of the tunneling channels of a chemisorbedmoleculeJ.Phys.Chem.Lett.11517–23
- [83] Zhang Y, Wang S, Braun K-F and Hla S-W 2018 Molecular flexure and atom trapping with sexiphenyl molecules by scanning tunneling microscope manipulation Surf. Sci. 678 215–21
- [84] HlaSW2014Atom-by-atomassemblyRep.Prog.Phys.77056502
- [85] Hla S-W 2005 Scanning tunneling microscopy single atom/ molecule manipulation and its application to nanoscience andtechnologyJ.Vac.Sci.Technol.B231351–60
- [86] Budau P and Grigorescu M 1998 Atom transfer in the STM double-well potential during a voltage pulse Phys. Rev. B576313
- [87] Buldum A and Ciraci S 1996 Controlled lateral and perpendicular motion of atoms on metal surfaces Phys.Rev.B 542175
- [88] Wiesendanger R and Güntherodt H-J 2013 Scanning Tunneling Microscopy III: Theory of STM AndRelated Scanning Probe Methods vol 29 (Berlin: Springer)
- [89] Bai C 2000 Scanning Tunneling Microscopy and Its Application vol 32 (Shanghai:Springer)
- [90] CrommieMF,LutzCPandEiglerDM1993Confinement of electrons to quantum corrals on a metal surface Science 262 218
- [91] Willke P, Paul W, Natterer F D, Yang K, Bae Y, Choi T, Fernández-Rossier J, Heinrich A J and Lutz C P 2018 Probing quantum coherence in single-atom electron spin resonance Sci. Adv. 4eeaq1543
- [92] Hahn J and Ho W 2001 Oxidation of a single carbon monoxide molecule manipulated and induced with a scanning tunneling microscopePhys. Rev. Lett. 87 166102
- [93] Fuechsle M, Miwa J A, Mahapatra S, Ryu H, Lee S, Warschkow O, Hollenberg L C L, Klimeck G and SimmonsMY2012AsingleatomtransistorNat.Nanotechnol.7 242
- [94] Fuechsle M, Mahapatra S, Zwanenburg F A, Friesen M, Eriksson M A and Simmons M Y 2010 Spectroscopy offew-electron singlecrystal silicon quantum dotsNat. Nanotechnol.5 502
- [95] WeberBetal2012Ohm'slawsurvivestotheatomicscale Science 335 64
- [96] Custance O, Perez R and Morita S 2009 Atomic force microscopyasatoolforatommanipulationNat. Nanotechnol.4 803
- [97] Guo D, Xie G and Luo J 2013 Mechanical properties of nanoparticles: basics and applications J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 47 013001
- [98] Sugimoto Y, Abe M, Hirayama S, Oyabu N, Custance Ó and Morita S 2005 Atom inlays performed at room temperature usingatomicforcemicroscopyNat.Mater.4156
- [99] SugimotoY,PouP,CustanceO,JelinekP,AbeM, Perez R and Morita S 2008 Complex patterning by vertical interchange atom manipulation using atomic force microscopy Science 322 413–7
- [100] Hirth S, Ostendorf F and Reichling M 2006 Lateral manipulation of atomic size defects on the CaF₂(111) surface Nanotechnology 17S148
- [101] Pennycook S et al 2010 Scanning transmission electron microscopy of nanostructures Oxford Handbook ofNanoscience and Nanotechnology 2205–48
- [102] deJongeN,RamachandraR,NorthanB, Poirier-Demers N and Drouin D 2010 Three-dimensional aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy of biological specimens Microsc. Microanal.16848–9
- [103] Susi T, Meyer J C and Kotakoski J 2017 Manipulating low- dimensionalmaterialsdowntothelevelofsingleatomswithelectron irradiation Ultramicroscopy180163–72
- [104] SusiT,KotakoskiJ,KepaptsoglouD,ManglerC, Lovejoy T C, Krivanek O L, Zan R, Bangert U, Ayala Pand Meyer J C 2014 Siliconcarbon bond inversions driven by 60-keVelectronsingraphenePhys.Rev.Lett.113115501
- [105] DyckO,KimS,Jimenez- IzalE,AlexandrovaAN, Kalinin S V and Jesse S 2018 Building structures atom by atom via electron beam manipulation Small 14 1801771
- [106] Susi T, Kepaptsoglou D, Lin Y-C, Ramasse Q M, Meyer JC, Suenaga K and Kotakoski J 2017 Towards atomically precise manipulation of 2D nanostructures in the electron microscope 2D Mater. 4042004
- [107] Lansbergen G P, Rahman R, Wellard C J, Woo I, Caro J, Collaert N, Biesemans S, Klimeck G, Hollenberg L C L and RoggeS2008Gate-inducedquantum-confinementtransition of a singledopantatominasiliconFinFETNat.Phys.4 656
- [108] Krivanek O L, Corbin G J, Dellby N, Elston B F, Keyse R J, MurfittMF,OwnCS,SzilagyiZSandWoodruffJW2008 An electron microscope for the aberration-corrected eraUltramicroscopy108179–95
- [109] Bakke J R, Pickrahn K L, Brennan T P and Bent S F 2011 Nanoengineering and interfacial engineering of photovoltaics by atomic layer deposition Nanoscale3 3482–508
- [110] Jiang X, Huang H, Prinz F B and Bent S F 2008 Application of atomic layer deposition of platinum to solid oxide fuel cells Chem. Mater. 203897–905
- [111] MistryKetal2007A45nmlogictechnologywithhigh-k +metal gate transistors, strained silicon, 9 Cu interconnect layers, 193nm dry patterning, and 100% Pb-free packaging2007 IEEE Int. Electron Devices Meeting pp247–50
- [112] Tsai C H et al 2012 Characteristics of HfZrOx gate stack engineering for reliability improvement on 28nm HK/MG CMOS technology Proc. Technical Program of 2012 VLSITechnology, System and Application pp1–2
- [113] Fang F Z, Zhang X D, Gao W, Guo Y B, Byrne G and Hansen H N 2017 Nanomanufacturing—perspective and applications CIRP Ann 660007–8506
- [114] Kane B E 1998 A silicon-based nuclear spin quantum computer Nature 393133
- [115] Tan K Y, Chan K W, Mottonen M, Morello A, Yang C, DonkelaarJV, AlvesA, PirkkalainenJ-M, Jamieson D N and Clark R G 2009 Transport spectroscopy of single phosphorus donors in a silicon nanoscaletransistorNano Lett. 1011–5
- [116] Pierre M, Wacquez R, Jehl X, Sanquer M, Vinet M and Cueto O 2009 Single-donor ionization energies in ananoscale CMOS channel Nat. Nanotechnol. 5133
- [117] Koiller B, Hu X and Sarma S D 2001 Exchange in silicon- based quantum computer architecture Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 027903
- [118] Girard C, Bouju X and Joachim C 1992 Van der waals interactions between an adsorbate and the tip of an STM Chem. Phys. 168203–10
- [119] Lyding J, Shen T C, Hubacek J, Tucker J and Abeln G 1994 Nanoscale patterning and oxidation ofH- passivated Si(100)-2 × 1 surfaces with an ultrahigh vacuum scanning tunneling microscope Appl. Phys. Lett. 64 2010–2

- [120] Schofield S, Curson N, Simmons M, Rueß F, Hallam T, OberbeckLandClarkR2003Atomicallypreciseplacement ofsingledopantsinSiPhys.Rev.Lett.91136104
- [121] Ruess F J, Oberbeck L, Simmons M Y, Goh K E J, Hamilton A R, Hallam T, Schofield S R, Curson N J and Clark R G 2004 Toward atomic-scale device fabrication in silicon using scanning probe microscopy Nano Lett. 4 1969–73
- [122] Weber B, Mahapatra S, Watson T F and Simmons M Y 2012 Engineering independent electrostatic control of atomic scale(~4 nm) silicon double quantum dots Nano Lett. 124001–6
- [123] Mahapatra S, Büch H and Simmons M Y 2011 Charge sensing of precisely positioned p donors in Si Nano Lett. 114376–81
- [124] DouglasSM,BacheletIandChurchGM2012Alogic-gated nanorobot for targeted transport of molecular payloadsScience 335831-4
- [125] Zhang F, Nangreave J, Liu Y and Yan H 2014 Structural DNAnanotechnology:stateoftheartandfutureperspectiveJ. Am. Chem. Soc. 13611198–211
- [126] Clarke A C 2001 The Foundations of Paradise (New York: Rosetta)
- [127] Liu C 2014 The Three-Body Problem vol 1 (New York: Tom DohertyAssociates)
- [128] Szlufarska I, Nakano A and Vashishta P 2005 A crossover in themechanical response of nanocrystalline ceramics Science 309911-4
- [129] Forrest D R 2015 Integrated nanosystems for atomically precise manufacturing Integrated Nanosystemsfor Atomically Precise Manufacturing Workshop (Berkeley, CA) (https://energy.gov/eere/amo/downloads/integrated-nanosystems-atomically-precisemanufacturing-workshop-august-5-6-2015)
- [130] Mathew P T and Fang F 2018 Advances in molecular electronics: a brief review Engineering 4760–71
- [131] Moth-Poulsen K and Bjørnholm T 2010 From nanofabrication to self-fabrication-tailored chemistry for control of single molecule electronic devices CHIMIA Int.J.Chem. 64 404–8
- [132] Umbrello S and Baum S D 2018 Evaluating future nanotechnology: The net societal impacts of atomically precise manufacturing Futures 10063–73
- [133] Liu L R, Hood J D, Yu Y, Zhang J T, Hutzler N R, Rosenband T and Ni K-K 2018 Building one molecule fromareservoiroftwoatomsScience360900–3
- [134] Mahapatra S, Vaish V, Wasshuber C, Banerjee K and Ionescu A M 2004 Analytical modeling of single electron transistor for hybrid CMOS-SET analog IC design IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 511772–82
- [135] Randall J N, Lyding J W, Schmucker S, Von Ehr J R, Ballard J, Saini R, Xu H and Ding Y 2009 Atomic precision lithography on Si J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 272764–8