

Fractional Derivative Associated With the Generalized M-Series and Multivariable Polynomials

¹, Ashok Singh Shekhawat, ², Jyoti Shaktawat

¹, Department of Mathematics Arya College of Engineering and Information Technology, Jaipur, Rajasthan

². Department of Mathematics Kautilya Institute of Technology and Engineering, Jaipur, Rajasthan

ABSTRACT

The aim of present paper is to derive a fractional derivative of the multivariable H-function of Srivastava and Panda [9], associated with a general class of multivariable polynomials of Srivastava [6] and the generalized Lauricella functions of Srivastava and Daoust [11] the generalized M-series. Certain special cases have also been discussed. The results derived here are of a very general nature and hence encompass several cases of interest hitherto scattered in the literature.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper the H-function of several complex variables introduced and studied by Srivastava and Panda [9] is an extension of the multivariable G-function and includes Fox's H-function, Meijer's G-function of one and two variables, the generalized Lauricella functions of Srivastava and Daoust [11], Appell functions etc. In this note we derive a fractional derivative of H-function of several complex variables of Srivastava and Panda [9], associated with a general polynomials (multivariable) of Srivastava [6] and the generalized Lauricella functions of Srivastava and Daoust [11].Generalized M-series extension of the both Mittag-Laffler function and generalized hypergeometric functions.

II. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

By Oldham and Spanner [4] and Srivastava and Goyal [7] the fractional derivative of a function f(t) of complex order γ

$${}_{a} D_{t}^{\gamma} \{ f(t) \} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-x)^{-\gamma-1} f(x) dx, & \text{Re}(\gamma) < 0 \\ \\ \frac{d}{dt}^{m} a D_{t}^{\gamma-m} \{ f(t) \} & 0 \le \text{Re}(\gamma) < m \end{cases}$$
...(2.1)

Where m is positive integer.

The multivariable H-function is defined by Srivastava and Panda [9] in the following manner

$$H [z_{1},..., z_{r}] = H \begin{bmatrix} 0, \lambda : (u',v'); ...; (u^{(r)},v^{(r)}) \\ A, C : [B',D']; ...; (B^{(r)},D^{(r)}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_{1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ((a) : \theta',..., \theta^{(r)}] : [(b'): \phi']; ...; [b^{(r)}: \phi^{(r)}] \\ [(c) : \psi',..., \psi^{(r)}] : [(d'): \delta']; ...; [d^{(r)}: \delta^{(r)}] \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{r}} \int_{L_{1}} ... \int_{L_{r}} \psi_{1}(\xi_{1},...,\xi_{r}) ... \phi_{1}(\xi_{1}) ... \phi_{r}(\xi_{r}) z_{1}^{\xi_{1}} ... z_{r}^{\xi_{r}} d\xi_{1} ... d\xi_{r}, \qquad ...(2.2)$$
where $(i = \sqrt{-1})$

where $(i = \sqrt{-1})$.

The general class of multivariable polynomials defined by Srivastava [6] defined as

$$\mathbf{S}_{q_{1},...,q_{s}}^{p_{1},...,p_{s}}[\mathbf{x}_{1}...\mathbf{x}_{s}] = \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{(q_{1}/p_{1})}...\sum_{k_{s}=0}^{(q_{s}/p_{s})}\frac{(-q_{1})_{p_{1}k_{1}}}{k_{1}!}...\frac{(-q_{s})_{p_{s}k_{s}}}{k_{s}!}$$

× A
$$[q_1, k_1; ...; q_s, k_s] x_1^{k_1} ... x_s^{k_s}$$
 ...(2.3)

where $q_j = 0,1,2,...; p_j \neq 0$ (j = 1,..., s) are non-zero arbitrary positive integer the coefficients

A $[q_1, k_1; ...; q_k_s]$ being arbitrary constants, real or complex.

The following known result of Srivastava and Panda [10] **Lemma.** If $(\lambda \ge 0)$, $0 \le x \le 1$, Re $(1+p) \ge 0$, Re $(q) \ge -1$, $\lambda_i \ge 0$ and $\Delta_i \ge 0$ or $\Delta_i = 0$ and $|z_i| \le \sigma$, i = 1, 2, ..., r then

$$x^{\lambda} F \begin{pmatrix} z_{1} x^{\lambda} \\ \vdots \\ z_{r} x^{\lambda} \\ r \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + p + q + 2M) (-\lambda)_{M} (1 + p)_{\lambda}}{M! (1 + p + q + M)_{\lambda+1}}$$

$$\cdot F_{M} [z_{1},..., z_{r}]_{2} F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -M, 1 + p + q + M; \\ 1 + p \\ ; x \end{bmatrix} ...(2.4)$$
where

where

$$F_{M}[z_{1},...,z_{r}] = F_{p+2:V';...;V}^{E+2:U';...;U^{(r)}} \begin{bmatrix} [(e):\eta';...;\eta^{(r)}][1+p+\lambda;\lambda_{1},...,\lambda_{r}], \\ [(g):\xi';...;\xi^{(r)}][2+p+q+M+\lambda;\lambda_{1},...,\lambda_{r}], \\ [(h+1;...;\lambda_{r}]:[(w'):x'];...;[(w^{(r)}:x^{(r)}]; \\ [(\lambda-M+1;\lambda_{1},...,\lambda_{r}]:[(v'):t'];...;[(v^{(r)}):t^{(r)}]; \\ [(h-M+1;\lambda_{1},...,\lambda_{r}]:[(v'):t'];...;[(v^{(r)}):t^{(r)}]; \\ [(h-M+1;\lambda_{1},...,\lambda_{r}]:[(v'):t^{(r)}]; \\ [(h-M+1;\lambda_{1},...,\lambda$$

where $M \ge 0$,

In this paper, we also use short notations as given

denote the generalized Lauricella function of several complex variable. The special case of the fractional derivative of Oldham and Spanier [4] is

$$D_{t}^{\gamma}(t^{\mu}) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu-\gamma+1)}t^{\mu-\gamma} \qquad \text{Re}(\mu) > -1 \qquad \dots (2.7)$$

The generalized M-series is the extension of the both Mittag-Leffler function and generalized hypergeometric function.

It represent as following

$$\begin{split} & \overset{\lambda,\mu}{\underset{p,q}{M}} (c_{1}, ..., c_{p}; d_{1}, ..., d_{q}; z) = \overset{\lambda,\mu}{\underset{p,q}{M}} (z) \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c_{1})_{k} ...(c_{p})_{k}}{(d_{1})_{k} ...(d_{q})_{k}} \frac{z^{k}}{\Gamma(\lambda k + \mu)} z, \lambda, \mu \in c, \ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0 \qquad \dots (2.8) \end{split}$$

III. THE MAIN RESULT

Our main result of this paper is the fractional derivative formula involving the Lauricella functions, generalized polynomials and the multivariable H-function and generalized M-series as given

where

$$\Delta = \frac{(-1)^{\beta} (1 + \rho + q + 2M) (1 + p + q + M)_{k} (-M)_{k} (-\sigma)_{M} (1 + p)_{\sigma}}{k ! M ! (1 + p + q + M)_{\sigma+1} \Gamma (\lambda k + \mu) (1 + p)_{k} \Gamma \alpha + 1 \Gamma \beta + 1}$$

$$\cdot \eta^{k} (-x)^{\sigma - \alpha + \lambda_{1}k + \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}, k_{i}} (y)^{\rho + \lambda_{2}k - \beta + \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i}k_{i}} t^{\alpha + \beta - \gamma}$$

$$\cdot F_{M} (z_{1}, ..., z_{r}) \frac{(c_{1})_{R} ... (c_{\ell})_{R}}{(d_{1})_{R} ... (d_{m})_{R}} \sigma_{i} > 0, s_{i} > 0, i = 1, 2, ..., r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i} \left(\frac{d_{j}^{(i)}}{\delta_{j}^{(i)}} \right) > -1 \\ & \operatorname{Re}(\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \rho_{i} \left(\frac{d_{j}^{(i)}}{\delta_{j}^{(i)}} \right) > -1 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In order to prove (3.1) express the Lauricella function by (2.4) and the multivariable H-function in terms of Mellin-Barnes type of contour integrals by (2.2) and generalized polynomials given by (2.3) respectively and generalized M-series (2.8) and collecting the power of $(\ell - x)$ and $(y - \ell)$. Finally making use of the result (2.7), we get (3.1).

IV. PARTICULAR CASES

With $\lambda = A = C = 0$, the multivariable H-function breaks into product of Fox's H-function and consequently there holds the following result

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{D}_{\ell}^{\gamma} & \left\{ \left(\ell - x\right)^{\sigma} \, \eta^{\sigma} \left(y - \ell\right)^{\sigma + \rho} \, \mathbf{F}_{\left(\begin{array}{c} z_{1} \left\{\eta\left(y - \ell\right)\right\}^{\sigma_{1}} \right]}^{z_{1} \left\{\eta\left(y - \ell\right)\right\}^{\sigma_{1}}} \right\} \mathbf{S}_{1, \dots, \mathbf{N}_{s}}^{\mathbf{M}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{N}_{s}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \left(\ell - x\right)^{a_{1}} \left(y - \ell\right)^{b_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \left(\ell - x\right)^{a_{s}} \left(y - \ell\right)^{b_{s}} \right] \right] \\ & \times \mathbf{M}_{\ell, \mathbf{m}}^{\lambda, \mu} \left\{ \left(\ell - x\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \left(y - \ell\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \right\}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{u}(0)} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}^{(0)}, \mathbf{D}^{(0)}}^{\mathbf{u}(0)} \left[\mathbf{w}_{i} \left\{\ell\left(\ell - x\right)\right\}^{\sigma_{i}} \left\{\ell\left(y - \ell\right)\right\}^{\rho_{i}} \left| \frac{\left[\mathbf{b}^{(0)} : \phi^{(0)} \right]}{\left[\mathbf{d}^{(0)} : \delta^{(0)} \right]} \right] \right] \\ & = \sum_{\alpha, \beta = 0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{M} = 0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_{1} = 0}^{\mathbf{N}_{1} (\mathbf{M}_{1})} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_{s} = 0}^{\mathbf{N}_{s} (\mathbf{M}_{1})} \frac{\left(-\mathbf{N}_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{k}_{1}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}!} \cdots \frac{\left(-\mathbf{N}_{s}\right)_{\mathbf{M}_{s} \mathbf{k}_{s}}}{\mathbf{k}_{s}!} \mathbf{A} [\mathbf{N}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{N}_{s}, \mathbf{k}_{s}] \\ & \Delta \mathbf{H}_{3,3; [\mathbf{B}^{\prime}, \mathbf{D}^{\prime}]; \dots; [\mathbf{B}^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{D}^{(\ell)}]}^{0, (\ell)} \left[\left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{w}_{1}(-x)^{\sigma_{1}} y^{\rho_{1}} \ell^{\rho_{1} + \sigma_{1}}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}!} \cdots \frac{\left(-\alpha - \beta; \rho_{1} + \sigma_{1}, \dots, \rho_{r} + \sigma_{r}, \mathbf{k}\right)}{\mathbf{k}_{s}!} \left(\alpha - \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{k}_{i} - \lambda_{1} \mathbf{k}; \sigma_{1}, \dots, \sigma_{r} \right) \\ & \left[-\sigma - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{k}_{1} - \lambda_{1} \mathbf{k}; \sigma_{1}, \dots, \sigma_{r} \right] \left\{ \left[-\rho - \mathbf{k} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{k}_{i} - \lambda_{2} \mathbf{k}; \rho_{1}, \dots, \rho_{r} + \sigma_{r} \right] \cdot \left[(\mathbf{b}^{(\ell)}; \phi^{(\ell)} \right] \right] \\ & \dots (\mathbf{4}.1) \end{aligned} \right.$$

valid under the conditions surrounding (3.1).

II. If $\phi^{(i)} = \delta^{(i)} = 1$, (i = 1, 2, ...) equation (4.1) reduces to

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{D}_{\ell}^{\gamma} \left\{ \left(\ell - x\right)^{\sigma} \, \eta^{\sigma} \left(y - \ell\right)^{\sigma + \rho} \, \mathsf{F} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_{1} \{\eta(y - \ell)\}^{\sigma_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ z_{r} \{\eta(y - \ell)\}^{\sigma_{r}} \end{array} \right) \mathsf{S} \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{M}_{1}, \dots, \mathsf{M}_{s} \\ \mathsf{N}_{1}, \dots, \mathsf{N}_{s} \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} \left(\ell - x\right)^{a_{1}} (y - \ell)^{b_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \left(\ell - x\right)^{a_{s}} (y - \ell)^{b_{s}} \end{array} \right] \\ & \times \, \mathsf{M} \begin{array}{c} \lambda, \mu \\ \ell, m \end{array} \{ \left(\ell - x\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \left(y - \ell\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \, \mathsf{G} \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{g}^{(i)}, \mathsf{v}^{(i)} \\ \mathsf{g}^{(i)}, \mathsf{D}^{(i)} \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{W}_{i} \left\{\ell \left(\ell - x\right)\right\}^{\sigma_{i}} \left\{\ell \left(y - \ell\right)\right\}^{\rho_{i}} \left| \left| \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{b}^{(i)} \\ \mathsf{d}^{(i)} \end{array} \right| \right\} \right. \\ & = \sum_{\alpha, \beta = 0}^{\infty} \sum_{k, M = 0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{1} = 0}^{N} \cdots \sum_{k_{s} = 0}^{N} \frac{\left(-\mathsf{N}_{1}\right)_{M_{1}k_{1}}}{k_{1}!} \cdots \frac{\left(-\mathsf{N}_{s}\right)_{M_{s}k_{s}}}{k_{s}!} \mathsf{A}[\mathsf{N}_{1}, \mathsf{K}_{1}, \dots, \mathsf{N}_{s}, \mathsf{K}_{s}] \\ & \mathsf{A} \, \mathsf{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{0.3} : (\mathsf{u}^{\prime}, \mathsf{v}^{\prime}) : \ldots; \left(\mathsf{u}^{(r)}, \mathsf{v}^{(r)}\right) \\ \mathsf{3.3} : \mathsf{(B}^{\prime}, \mathsf{D}^{\prime}] : \ldots; \left(\mathsf{B}^{(r)}, \mathsf{D}^{(r)}\right) \end{bmatrix} \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{w}_{1} (-x)^{\sigma_{1}} y^{\rho_{1}} \ell^{\rho_{1} + \sigma_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathsf{w}_{r} (-x)^{\sigma_{r}} (y)^{\rho_{r}} \ell^{\rho_{r} + \sigma_{r}} \end{array} \right] \left(\begin{array}{c} (-\alpha - \beta; \rho_{1} + \sigma_{1}, \dots, \rho_{r} + \sigma_{r}), \\ \mathsf{a} - \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathsf{a}_{i} \mathsf{k}_{i} - \lambda_{1} \mathsf{k} : \sigma_{1}, \dots, \sigma_{r} \end{array} \right) \right. \end{split} \right. \end{split}$$

||Issn 2250-3005 ||

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & s \\ -\sigma - \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{1}k_{1} - \lambda_{1}k; \sigma_{1}, ..., \sigma_{r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\rho - k - \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i}k_{i} - \lambda_{2}k; \rho_{1}, ..., \rho_{r} \\ i = 1 \end{pmatrix}, [(b'); ...; [(b'')]] \\ \begin{pmatrix} \beta - \rho - k - \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i}k_{i} - \lambda_{2}k; \rho_{1}, ..., \rho_{r} \\ i = 1 \end{pmatrix}, (\gamma - \alpha - \beta; \rho_{1} + \sigma_{1}, ..., \rho_{r} + \sigma_{r}) : [(d'), ..., [(d^{(r)})]] \end{bmatrix}$$
 ...(4.2)

valid under the conditions as obtainable from (3.1).

III. Let $N_i = 0$ (i = 1,...,s), the result in (3.1) reduces to the known result given by Sharma and Singh [], after a little simplification.

IV. Replacing N₁,...,N_s by N in (3.1) we have a known result recently obtained by Chaurasia and Singh [].

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Professor H.M. Srivastava, University of Victoria, Canada for his kind help and valuable suggestions in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- V.B.L. Chaurasia and V.K Singhal, Fractional derivative of the multivariable polynomials, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc. (Second Series), 26 (2003), 1-8.
- [2] M. Sharma, Fractional integration and fractional differentiation of the M-series, J. Fract. Calc. and Appl. Anal., Vol.11, No.2 (2008), 187-191.
- [3] M. Sharma and Jain, R., A note on a generalized series as a special function, n of fractional calculus. J. Fract. Calc. and Appl. Anal., Vol.12, No. 4 (2009), 449-452.
- [4] K.B. Oldham and J. Spanier, The Fractional Calculus, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [5] C.K. Sharma and Singh Indra Jeet, Fractional derivatives of the Lauricella functions and the multivariable H-function, Jñānābha, 1(1991), 165-170.
- [6] H.M. Srivastava, A multilinear generating function for the Konhauser sets of biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials, Pacific J. Math., 117 (1985), 157-191.
- [7] H.M. Srivastava and S.P. Goyal, Fractional derivatives of the H-function of several variables, J.Math. Anal. Appl., 112 (1985), 641-651.
- [8] H.M. Srivastava, K.C. Gupta and S.P. Goyal, The H-Functions of One and Two Variables with Applications, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi-Madras, 1982.
- H.M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Some bilateral generating functions for a class of generalized hypergeometric polynomials, J. Reine Angew. Math. 283/284 (1976), 265-274.
- [10] H.M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Certain expansion formulas involving the generalized Lauricella functions, II Comment. Math.Univ. St. Paul., 24 (1974), 7-14.
- [11] H.M. Srivastava and M.C. Daoust, Certain generalized Neuman expansions associated with the Kampé de Fériet function, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch Indag. Math., 31 (1969), 449-457.